




Mahesh Pratap Singh <yogimpsingh@gmail.com>

आपके वाद संख्या A-20250301434 की ऑनलाइन सुनवाई हेतु माध्यम/ लिंक है :
<https://upsic.up.gov.in/cispu/onlinehearing/ca8822> उक्त वाद संख्या A-20250301434
की ऑनलाइन सुनवाई दिनांक 12/08/2026 समय 12:30 PM बजे से 02:30 PM बजे तक की
जाएगी | - CATS UPSIC

2 messages

SHAKUNTALA GAUTAM <hearingcourts9.upic@up.gov.in>
To: yogimpsingh <yogimpsingh@gmail.com>
Cc: ee 2mirzapur <ee.2mirzapur@puvvn.in>, Dmmir Dmmir <dmmir@nic.in>

4 August 2026 at 14:01



1434 (13).pdf
1050K

Mahesh Pratap Singh <yogimpsingh@gmail.com>
To: SHAKUNTALA GAUTAM <hearingcourts9.upic@up.gov.in>
Cc: ee 2mirzapur <ee.2mirzapur@puvvn.in>, Dmmir Dmmir <dmmir@nic.in>

12 August 2026 at 09:37

BEFORE THE HON'BLE UTTAR PRADESH STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

(Hearing Room S-9, RTI Bhawan, Vibhuti Khand, Gomti Nagar, Lucknow)

Appeal No.	S09/A/1037/2025
Registration No.	A-20250301434
RTI Registration No.	PUVNL/R/2024/60647 (filed 26/11/2024)
Appellant	Yogi M.P. Singh S/o Rajendra Pratap Singh, R/o Mohalla Surekapuram, Jabalpur Road, Sangmohal, District Mirzapur, Pin - 231001
Respondent	Public Information Officer / Executive Engineer, Electricity Distribution Division-II (EDD-2), Fatah, Purvanchal Vidyut Vitran Nigam Ltd., District Mirzapur, Pin - 231001
Date of Hearing	12/08/2026

SUPPLEMENTARY WRITTEN SUBMISSION ON BEHALF OF THE APPELLANT

(In continuation of the Written Representation dated 23/06/2026, filed in pursuance of the Commission's Orders dated 12/05/2026 and 23/06/2026)

MOST RESPECTFULLY SHOWETH:**1. Background of the Case**

1. That the Appellant (acting on behalf of the aggrieved information-seeker, Shri Loknath Yadav S/o Avadhraj Yadav) filed an RTI application dated 26/11/2024 (Registration No. PUVNL/R/2024/60647) before the Public Information Officer, EDD-2, Fatah, Mirzapur, seeking five specific points of information concerning the electricity connection, dues, and disconnection status

of Shri Tarak Nath Yadav S/o Avadhraj Yadav, and the posting details of Junior Engineer Shri Kishan Lal Sharma.

2. That the said RTI application arose out of a checking/inspection conducted by JE Kishan Lal Sharma on 26/10/2024, pursuant to which a Provisional Assessment Notice (Letter No. 6707 dated 04/11/2024) alleging electricity theft under Section 135 of the Electricity Act, 2003 was served — not upon the actual defaulting consumer, but upon two other residents of the same premises, namely Shri Loknath Yadav and Shri Kedar Nath Yadav.

3. That the Appellant's case is that the department's own records — including a One-Time Settlement (OTS) Scheme slip and computerised ledger for Account No. 6319562000, standing in the name of Tarak Nath Yadav S/o Avadhraj Yadav, showing an outstanding balance of ₹4,43,138/- — conclusively establish that Tarak Nath Yadav holds a separate, identifiable, and heavily defaulting connection on the very same premises, and that the department was at all times capable of retrieving this information by name and address, without requiring a "connection number" from the Appellant.

4. That despite two successive orders of this Hon'ble Commission — dated 12/05/2026 and 23/06/2026 — directing the Respondent PIO to clearly resolve the Appellant's objections and supply the information sought, with proof of dispatch, the Respondent has continued to furnish incomplete, evasive, and non-responsive replies, as detailed below.

2. Chronology of Non-Compliance by the Respondent PIO

Date	Event	Remarks
26/11/2024	RTI application filed (Reg. No. PUVNL/R/2024/60647)	5-point information sought within statutory 30-day period u/s 7(1) of the RTI Act, 2005
12/05/2026	Commission's first order	PIO directed to resolve objections and supply information before next hearing
20/06/2026	PIO marks RTI portal as "Request Disposed Of"	Done merely by re-attaching the same evasive Letter No. 26, without addressing the Commission's directions
23/06/2026	Hearing; Commission's second order	Both parties absent; PIO again directed to resolve objections with proof of dispatch; Appellant given final opportunity to appear on 12/08/2026
07/07/2026	PIO's Letter No. 26	Repeats the false claim that information on Points 1–4 cannot be provided "without a connection number", despite the OTS record for A/c No. 6319562000 being retrievable by name/address alone
07/08–09/08/2026	PIO's Letter No. 5371	Provides connection and dues details for Point 1 and 3 (A/c No. 6319562000, dues ₹2,95,487/-), but again fails to answer Point 2 (wife's connection) and Point 4 (disconnection application copy) on the ground that these are "not available in office records"
11/08/2026	PIO's covering letter to the Commission	Claims information has been dispatched to the Appellant vide Letter No. 5371 dated 07.08.2026 and requests disposal of the case, without addressing the incomplete nature of the reply

3. Information Still Withheld / Evasively Answered

Even in its latest reply dated 07/08/2026, the Respondent PIO has failed to provide complete and truthful information on the following points, despite two Commission orders directing full compliance:

5. Point 2 — Connection in the name of Tarak Nath Yadav's wife: The PIO states the wife's name "is not in office records", but has made no effort to search connection records by address or family unit, which the department's own OTS system demonstrates is fully searchable by name and premises.

6. Point 4 — Disconnection application: The PIO states a copy of Tarak Nath Yadav's disconnection application "is not available" in office records, without clarifying whether the connection was, in fact, ever legally disconnected, or whether it continues to run with dues now exceeding ₹2,95,487/- to ₹4,43,138/- (as reflected in different departmental documents), in apparent violation of Section 56 of the Electricity Act, 2003, which mandates disconnection on non-payment after notice.

7. Point 5 — Posting details of JE Kishan Lal Sharma: While the PIO's letter dated 09/08/2026 (Letter No. 5371, referring to the earlier reply) confirms Shri Kishan Lal Sharma served as Junior Engineer at EDD-Mirzapur from 31/01/2024 to 04/04/2025, this was supplied only after repeated defaults and does not address the Appellant's underlying grievance regarding the conduct of the inspection dated 26/10/2024 signed by the said officer.

4. Non-Furnishing of Information on the Appellant's Registered E-mail — Violation of the Commission's Prescribed Mode of Communication

8. That the Appellant's registered e-mail address, namely yogimpsingh@gmail.com, has consistently been used throughout these proceedings — including by the Appellant to receive the Commission's own hearing notices and links (as on 22/06/2026), and by the Appellant to file the written representation dated 23/06/2026 before this Hon'ble Commission and the Respondent's office (with a copy marked to ee.2mirzapur@puvvn.in).

9. That despite this registered e-mail address being on record with both the Commission and the Respondent's office, the Respondent Executive Engineer, EDD-II, Mirzapur, did not send the information dated 07/08/2026 (Letter No. 5371) to the Appellant's said registered e-mail. No e-mail transmission or delivery proof to yogimpsingh@gmail.com has been furnished by the Respondent, despite the Respondent's covering letter dated 11/08/2026 to this Hon'ble Commission claiming that "the information has already been dispatched to the applicant."

10. That furnishing information other than through the registered and consistently-used e-mail address of the Appellant, without any acknowledgement of delivery or dispatch proof to that e-mail, is contrary to the norms and directions of this Hon'ble Commission, which has repeatedly required the Respondent to supply "proof of dispatch" of information along with its written statement (vide Order dated 23/06/2026). The Respondent's bare assertion of dispatch, unsupported by any e-mail transmission record to the Appellant's registered address, does not meet this requirement and amounts to an illegal and improper mode of communication.

11. That this omission is not a mere technical lapse: it directly undermines the Appellant's ability to timely receive, verify, and respond to the information said to have been supplied, and is consistent with the Respondent's broader pattern of non-compliance detailed in this submission.

5. Non-Compliance Through Verbal Assurances Instead of Written Information

It is further submitted that instead of furnishing the information in writing as mandated under the RTI Act, 2005, one Shri Suyash Chaturvedi (contact no. 070072 82030), representing the Respondent's office, repeatedly telephoned the Appellant seeking clarification regarding the information sought and orally assured that the same would be provided. Call records evidence the following contacts on 11/08/2026:

- Incoming call at 12:03 PM (duration 1 minute 3 seconds)
- Incoming call at 12:11 PM (duration 1 minute 10 seconds)
- Outgoing call at 12:19 PM (duration 19 seconds)

No written information was transmitted to the Appellant through this exchange; only the hearing notice itself was subsequently forwarded by the Appellant to third parties via WhatsApp. Reliance on informal telephonic assurances, in place of a reasoned written reply as contemplated under Section 7 of the RTI Act, 2005, itself amounts to procedural non-compliance.

6. Submissions on Merits

12. The Respondent's repeated defence — that information cannot be furnished without a "connection number" — stands demolished by the department's own official OTS Scheme document/computerised ledger for Account No. 6319562000, which the department itself generated and retrieved using the consumer's name and address, i.e., the very particulars furnished by the Appellant in the original RTI application.

13. The Aadhaar particulars of both Tarak Nath Yadav (Aadhaar No. 9652 9988 0331, address: Dugauli Manda, Dugauli Kantit, Mirzapur) and Loknath Yadav (Aadhaar No. 8955 4104 6336) were annexed to the original RTI application itself, further disproving any claim of insufficient identifying particulars.

14. The selective and continued non-disclosure of information relating to disconnection status and the wife's connection, read together with the admitted outstanding dues exceeding ₹2,95,000/- to ₹4,43,000/- on the very connection in question, raises a reasonable apprehension of collusion between the defaulting consumer and departmental officials to shield the former from statutory disconnection proceedings under Section 56 of the Electricity Act, 2003, while simultaneously proceeding against unrelated residents of the same premises under Section 135 of the Act.

15. The PIO's act of marking the RTI request as "Disposed Of" on the online portal on 20/06/2026, without in fact complying with the Commission's order dated 12/05/2026, constitutes a further act of procedural impropriety and misrepresentation before this Hon'ble Commission.

7. PRAYER

In light of the sustained and repeated non-compliance by the Respondent PIO with two successive orders of this Hon'ble Commission dated 12/05/2026 and 23/06/2026, the Appellant most respectfully prays that this Hon'ble Commission may be pleased to:

16. Reject the Respondent's claim that the requisite information cannot be furnished without a "connection number", the department's own records being fully searchable by name, address, and premises;

17. Direct the Respondent PIO to furnish complete, clear, and specific point-wise information — in particular on Points 2 and 4 — including the complete billing and disconnection history of Account No. 6319562000 and clarification of the discrepancy in outstanding dues figures (₹2,95,487/- vs. ₹4,43,138/-) reflected in different departmental documents;

18. Hold that the Respondent's failure to transmit the information dated 07/08/2026 (Letter No. 5371) to the Appellant's registered e-mail address (yogimpsingh@gmail.com), despite claiming dispatch, is contrary to this Hon'ble Commission's directions requiring proof of dispatch, and direct the Respondent to henceforth furnish all information and communications strictly to the Appellant's said registered e-mail, with delivery proof placed on record;

19. Take note of the Respondent's practice of conveying information through informal telephonic assurances rather than written communication, and direct that all further communication be made strictly in writing, to the Appellant's registered e-mail, as required under the RTI Act, 2005 and the norms of this Hon'ble Commission;

20. Invoke Section 20(1) of the RTI Act, 2005 to impose the maximum statutory penalty upon the Respondent PIO for persistent, deliberate delay and furnishing of incomplete/misleading information over a period exceeding 1.5 years from the date of the original RTI application; and

21. Pass such further order(s) as this Hon'ble Commission may deem fit and proper in the interest of justice.

Place: Mirzapur / Lucknow

Date: 12/08/2026

Yours faithfully,
(Yogi M.P. Singh)
Appellant

Mob: 7379105911 | Email: yogimpsingh@gmail.com

Enclosures / List of Documents Relied Upon

- 1. RTI application form dated 26/11/2024 (Registration No. PUVNL/R/2024/60647) with annexures
- 2. Commission's Order dated 23/06/2026 (Appeal No. S09/A/1037/2025)
- 3. Written Representation dated 23/06/2026 filed by the Appellant
- 4. PIO's Letter No. 26 dated 07/07/2026
- 5. PIO's Letter No. 5371 dated 07/08/2026 and covering letter dated 11/08/2026
- 6. Provisional Assessment Notice, Letter No. 6707 dated 04/11/2024
- 7. Consumer Inspection Report dated 26/10/2024 (Book No. 21539)
- 8. OTS Scheme Slip / Ledger for Account No. 6319562000 (outstanding ₹4,43,138/-)
- 9. Aadhaar Cards of Tarak Nath Yadav and Loknath Yadav
- 10. Call log records evidencing telephonic contact with Shri Suyash Chaturvedi dated 11/08/2026

On Tue, 4 Aug 2026 at 14:02, SHAKUNTALA GAUTAM <hearingcourts9.upic@up.gov.in> wrote:

6 attachments

-  **document.pdf**
410K
-  **1434 (13).pdf**
1050K
-  **RTIcovering.pdf**
255K
-  **MjAyNi0wOC0xMSAxNzo1ND01MS4xNjA5MDg=.pdf**
1256K

12/08/2026, 09:37

Gmail - आपके वाद संख्या A-20250301434 की ऑनलाइन सुनवाई हेतु माध्यम/ लिंक है : <https://upsic.up.gov.in/cispu/onlinehearing/ca88...>



kof.pdf

611K



Suyashchaturvedi.pdf

141K

8:33 AM

Bluetooth, Cellular, Wi-Fi, 65%



ExN EDD II Mirz

last seen today at 8:19 am



8 February 2025

Messages and calls are end-to-end encrypted. Only people in this chat can read, listen to, or share them. [Learn more](#)

11 September 2025

ExN EDD II Mirz uses a default timer for disappearing messages in new chats. New messages will disappear from this chat 90 days after they're sent, except when kept. **Set your own default timer**

Yesterday

12:04 PM
Subject: A-20250301434 को ऑनलाइन सुनवाई हेतु माध्यम/ लिंक है:
<https://supac.up.gov.in/cisplu/onlinehearing/1ab54b> उक्त वाद संख्या A-20250301434 की ऑनलाइन सुनवाई दिनांक 23/06/2026 समय 12:30 PM बजे से 02:00 PM बजे तक की जाएगी। - CATS UPSC
Rakesh Pratap Singh rakeshpratap@gmail.com
By: 20061512A CATS UPSC - rakeshpratap@gmail.com

MjAyNi0wNi0yMiAyMjo1Mzo1Mi44MjkzODc.pdf

7 pages • 625 kB • PDF

12:19 pm ✓✓



Message



8:33 AM

65



DE

Deepak Gupta...

8:10 am



11:34 am

11 September 2025

yogi mp singh.pdf

3 pages • 1.3 MB • PDF

8:39 pm

Yesterday

Gmail

Wahnech Pratap Singh ysgp@gmail.com

आपके बाद संख्या A-20260301434 की ऑनलाइन सुनवाई हेतु माध्यम/ लिंक है:
<https://upsc.gov.in/cspu/onlinehearing/Tab94b> उक्त बाद संख्या A-20260301434
की ऑनलाइन सुनवाई दिनांक 23/06/2026 समय 12:30 PM बजे से 02:00 PM बजे तक की
जाएगी। - CATS UPSC

Wahnech Pratap Singh ysgp@gmail.com
The "SPURIOUS" TALA CAUTION - please do not use this link

23 June 2026 at 12:33



MjAyNi0wNi0yMiAyMjo1Mzo1Mi4
4MjKzODc.pdf

7 pages • 625 kB • PDF

12:19 pm



Message



 Suyash Chaturvedi

Call



WhatsApp



Pay



Save



Block



070072 82030

India · Jio

[Suggest a better name](#)[Add comment](#)

Uttar Pradesh East, India

Call History



Yesterday · 12:19

19s

Outgoing · 7007282030



Yesterday · 12:11

1m 10s

Incoming · 070072 82030



Yesterday · 12:03

1m 3s

Incoming · 070072 82030

[View all](#)

8:32 AM

66



edi

Suyash Cl  

Identified by **truecaller**



Call



WhatsApp



Pay



Save



Block



070072 82030

India · Jio



Suggest a better name



Add comment



Uttar Pradesh East, India

Call History



Yesterday · 12:19

19s

Outgoing · 7007282030

Yesterday · 12:19
Outgoing · 7007282030





कार्यालय अधिशासी अभियन्ता

विद्युत वितरण खण्ड-मीरजापुर,
पूर्वांचल विद्युत वितरण निगम लिमिटेड,
फतहॉ, मीरजापुर

पत्रांक 5409 वि०वि०ख०(मी०)/

दिनांक 11.08.2026

विषय:-माननीय राज्य सूचना आयोग में योजित अपील संख्या S09/A/1037/2025 श्री योगी एम०पी० सिंह बनाम जन सूचना अधिकारी के सम्बन्ध में।

श्रीमान पीठासीन अधिकारी
माननीय राज्य सूचना आयोग
लखनऊ।

उपर्युक्त विषयक सादर अवगत कराना है कि माननीय राज्य सूचना अयोग में आयोजित अपील संख्या S09/A/1037/2025 श्री योगी एम०पी० सिंह बनाम जनसूचना अधिकारी के सुनवाई हेतु दिनांक 12.08.2026 की तिथि निर्धारित है। इस सम्बन्ध में अवगत कराना है कि इस कार्यालय पत्रांक 5371/वि०वि०ख०(मी०)/दिनांक 07.08.2026 के माध्यम से आवेदनकर्ता को सूचना प्रेषित कर दी गई है।

अतः आपसे सादर अनुरोध है कि उक्त तथ्यों को संज्ञान में लेकर प्रकरण को निस्तारित करने की कृपा करें।

(आर० के० यादव)
अधिशासी अभियन्ता



कार्यालय अधिशासी अभियन्ता

विद्युत वितरण खण्ड-मीरजापुर,
पूर्वांचल विद्युत वितरण निगम लिमिटेड,
फतहौ, मीरजापुर

दिनांक 09/03/26

पत्रांक 539/ वि0वि0ख0(मी0)/

विषय:-जन सूचना अधिकार के तहत मांगी गई सूचना के सम्बन्ध में।

श्री योगी एम0पी0 सिंह
निवासी मोहल्ला-सुरेकापुरम्
जबलपुर रोड, संगमोहाल
जिला-मीरजापुर।

उपर्युक्त विषयक आपके द्वारा मांगी गयी सूचना निम्नवत् है:-

क्र0सं0	मांगी गयी सूचना	उपलब्ध सूचना
1	श्री तारक नाथ यादव पुत्र श्री अवध राज यादव श्री लोकनाथ यादव के बड़े भाई हैं के कनेक्शन का विवरण प्रदान करें ?	श्री तारक नाथ यादव पुत्र श्री अवध राज यादव निवासी दुगौली, जिगना, छानवे, जिला-मीरजापुर के परिसर पर संयोजन सं0 6319562000 (एल0एम0वी0-1) 01 किलोवाट स्थापित है।
2	श्री तारक नाथ यादव पुत्र श्री अवध राज यादव द्वारा इस परिसर से कुछ दूर अपनी पत्नी के नाम लिये गये कनेक्शन का विवरण प्रदान करें ?	श्री तारक नाथ यादव पुत्र श्री अवध राज यादव निवासी दुगौली, जिगना, छानवे, जिला-मीरजापुर की पत्नी का नाम इस कार्यालय के रिकार्ड में नहीं है। जिस कारण पत्नी के नाम विद्युत संयोजन से सम्बन्धित सूचना उपलब्ध कराना सम्भव नहीं है।
3	श्री तारक नाथ यादव के नाम पर भारी बकाया है जिसके कारण विद्युत विभाग द्वारा उनके नाम पर दूसरा कनेक्शन जारी नहीं किया गया और बकाया है और भारी बकाये के कारण कनेक्शन काटा नहीं गया ? कृपया विद्युत विभाग द्वारा श्री तारक नाथ यादव के नाम पर इस परिसर का विद्युत नवीनतम बिल प्रदान करें ?	श्री तारक नाथ यादव पुत्र श्री अवध राज यादव निवासी दुगौली, जिगना, छानवे, जिला-मीरजापुर के परिसर पर संयोजन सं0 6319562000 (एल0एम0वी0-1) 01 किलोवाट स्थापित है। जिसका वर्तमान बकाया विद्युत बिल रु0 2,95,487.00 है।
4	श्री तारक नाथ यादव को दिया गया वैध कनेक्शन विद्युत विभाग द्वारा काट दिया गया था और श्री तारक नाथ यादव पुत्र अवध राज यादव द्वारा ऐसा करने के लिये आवेदन की प्रति उपलब्ध कराये।	श्री तारक नाथ यादव द्वारा विद्युत विच्छेदन के आवेदन की प्रति अधोहस्ताक्षरी के कार्यालय में उपलब्ध नहीं है।
5	श्री किशन लाल शर्मा, अवर अभियन्ता के पद स्थापन का विवरण और उनके स्थानीय कार्यालय जिला-मिर्जापुर में कार्य भार ग्रहण करने की तिथि प्रदान करें।	वर्तमान में अवर अभियन्ता श्री किशन लाल शर्मा इस कार्यालय में कार्यरत नहीं हैं। श्री किशन लाल शर्मा, अवर अभियन्ता के पद पर विद्युत वितरण खण्ड-मीरजापुर में दिनांक 31.01.2024 से दिनांक 04.04.2025 तक कार्यरत थे।

(आर0 के0 यादव)
अधिशासी अभियन्ता