



Mahesh Pratap Singh <yogimpsingh@gmail.com>

सुनवाई तिथि-07-07-2026 की नोटिस

2 messages

SWATANTRA PRAKASH <hearingcourts6.upic@up.gov.in>

23 June 2026 at 12:26

To: Director ULB <diruplb@nic.in>, ulblo sector7 <ulblo.sector7@gmail.com>

Cc: yogimpsingh <yogimpsingh@gmail.com>

उभय प्रकार से नोटिस (मेल व रजिस्टर्ड डाक)

सुनवाई तिथि-07-07-2026 की नोटिस

सुनवाई कक्ष एस-6

प्रिय,

महोदय/महोदया

अवगत कराना है कि उत्तर प्रदेश सूचना आयोग के सुनवाई कक्ष संख्या एस -6 में नियत सुनवाई हेतु आपको ई-मेल के माध्यम से नोटिस प्रेषित की जा रही । अतः नियत तिथि पर आयोग के समक्ष स्वयं या प्राधिकृत प्रतिनिधि के माध्यम से उपस्थित होकर अपना लिखित अभिकथन प्रस्तुत करें।

**A-20240700485.pdf**
1094K**Mahesh Pratap Singh** <yogimpsingh@gmail.com>

7 July 2026 at 09:19

To: SWATANTRA PRAKASH <hearingcourts6.upic@up.gov.in>

Cc: Director ULB <diruplb@nic.in>, ulblo sector7 <ulblo.sector7@gmail.com>

BEFORE THE HON'BLE STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, UTTAR PRADESH**At: 7/7/A, RTI Bhawan, Vibhuti Khand, Gomti Nagar, Lucknow****In the Matter of: Appeal Number: S06/A/1200/2024 Registration Number: A-20240700485****Yogi M. P. Singh** Mohalla Surekapuram, Jabalpur Road, Sangmohal, Mirzapur, U.P.
– 231001 ...**APPELLANT****VERSUS****Public Information Officer** Office of the Director, Directorate of Local Bodies,
Lucknow, U.P. ...**RESPONDENT****REPRESENTATION ON BEHALF OF THE APPELLANT INITIATING PROCEEDINGS FOR NON-COMPLIANCE OF THE COMMISSION'S ORDERS**

MOST RESPECTFULLY SHOWETH:

1. Purpose of the Representation

The Appellant submits this formal representation to bring to the urgent attention of the Hon'ble Commission that the Public Information Officer (PIO), Office of the Director, Directorate of Local Bodies, Lucknow, has deliberately failed to comply with the solemn directions passed by this Hon'ble Court. The Respondent continues to defy the clear orders of the Commission, thereby frustrating the very purpose of the Right to Information Act, 2005.

2. Brief Background and Order under Reference

- The Appellant filed an RTI application on 08/02/2024 seeking 5-point specific information regarding the compliance status of the Government Mandate (dated 04/01/2024) issued by the Special Secretary. The mandate strictly requires Provincial Civil Services (Executive Branch) officers to voluntarily disclose their annual movable and immovable assets online via the Sparrow Portal.
- During the hearing on 14/05/2026, the Hon'ble Commission categorically accepted the Appellant's objection against the illegal downward transfer of this RTI to municipal levels.
- Consequently, the Hon'ble Commission explicitly exonerated the Municipal Council, Mirzapur, and directed the primary target—the **PIO, Directorate of Local Bodies, Lucknow**—to complete the necessary actions and submit a formal written action-taken report at least two days prior to the hearing scheduled for 07/07/2026.

3. Grounds of Non-Compliance by the Respondent

- **Absolute Defiance of Deadlines:** The Commission strictly ordered the Respondent to submit a scanned copy of their written statement/compliance report in PDF format via email (hearingcourts6.upic@up.gov.in) or the departmental portal two days prior to the 07/07/2026 hearing. The Respondent has totally failed to submit any such report or advance copy to the Appellant.
- **Deliberate Concealment of Administrative Records:** The requested information (Points 1 to 5) directly concerns internal orders, office communications, and the names of staff handling the Sparrow Portal compliance inside the Directorate office. By withholding this, the PIO is deliberately covering up administrative lapses and the non-compliance of the government mandate issued by Special Secretary Dhananjay Shukla on 04/01/2024.
- **Mala Fide Intent to Obstruct Justice:** The case history proves that the Respondent has consistently engaged in evasive tactics. The PIO previously shifted the blame onto subordinate town bodies (Mirzapur) to actively mislead the Commission and create a massive delay spanning over two years.

4. Legal Position and Precedents

The Appellant draws the attention of the Hon'ble Commission to the landmark judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in **J.P. Agrawal vs. Union of India**, which clearly rules that a PIO cannot act as a mere "post office" or an indifferent bystander. The PIO bears personal statutory responsibility under the law. Persistent refusal to comply with the Commission's judicial orders constitutes a direct affront to the authority of the State Information Commission.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

In the light of the facts narrated above, the Appellant most respectfully prays that the Hon'ble Commission may graciously be pleased to:

1. **Reject and Condemn** any eleventh-hour evasive oral or incomplete submissions made by the Respondent without prior written submission as mandated by the order dated 14/05/2026.
2. **Impose the Maximum Penalty** of ₹250 per day up to ₹25,000 under Section 20(1) of the RTI Act, 2005 on the PIO, Directorate of Local Bodies, Lucknow, for causing a gross, bad-faith delay of over 28 months and deliberately suppressing transparency data.
3. **Recommend Disciplinary Action** against the defaulting officer under Section 20(2) of the RTI Act for gross insubordination of both Government orders and Commission directives.
4. **Issue a Peremptory Direction** ordering the Respondent to provide complete, certified, point-wise copies of the requested information to the Appellant free of cost within a strict, time-bound period of 7 days.

Date: 07/07/2026

Place: Mirzapur

Respectfully Submitted,

(Yogi M. P. Singh)

Appellant in Person

Mobile: 7379105911

Email: yogimpsingh@gmail.com

[Quoted text hidden]