



Mahesh Pratap Singh <yogimpsingh@gmail.com>

3443#, RIT Case No. S-02/A/1339/2025 Reg. No-A-20241000147 के सम्बन्ध में

3 messages

CMO Prayagraj <cmoald@gmail.com>

1 July 2026 at 17:25

To: hearingcourts2.upic@up.gov.in

Cc: adallahabad <adallahabad@gmail.com>, dmall <dmall@nic.in>, Mahesh Pratap Singh <yogimpsingh@gmail.com>

PFA 1 pdf file.

--

Chief Medical Officer

Prayagraj



Adobe Scan 1 Jul 2026 (5).pdf

3531K

Mahesh Pratap Singh <yogimpsingh@gmail.com>

6 July 2026 at 22:06

To: CMO Prayagraj <cmoald@gmail.com>

Cc: hearingcourts2.upic@up.gov.in, adallahabad <adallahabad@gmail.com>, dmall <dmall@nic.in>

**BEFORE THE HONOURABLE STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, UTTAR
PRADESH****RTI RTI Bhawan, Vibhuti Khand, Gomti Nagar, Lucknow****In the Matter of:****Appeal Case No: S-02/A/1339/2025****Registration No: A-20241000147****Yogi M. P. Singh (Mahesh Pratap Singh)**Resident of Mohalla Surekapuram, Jabalpur Road, Sangmohal, Mirzapur City, UP –
231001.

... APPELLANT

VERSUS1. **Joint Director, Shri A.K. Srivastava**Public Information Officer, Directorate of Medical and Health Services, Lucknow
– 226001.2. **Chief Medical Officer (CMO), Prayagraj.**

... RESPONDENTS

REJOINDER & WRITTEN SUBMISSION ON BEHALF OF THE APPELLANT AGAINST THE DISMISSAL REQUEST SUBMITTED BY THE CMO PRAYAGRAJ

Most Respectfully Showeth:

I, Yogi M. P. Singh, the appellant in the aforementioned Second Appeal, beg to submit this written rejoinder to vehemently oppose the written submission (Letter No: मु०चि०अ०/जन०सू०अ०अधि०/2026-27/3443) filed by the CMO Prayagraj, wherein they have misleadingly requested the Honourable Commission to dismiss/close this vital transparency matter.

1. Core Ground of Rejoinder: The Requested Information Has Not Been Provided

The CMO Prayagraj has attached a field inquiry report dated 14.11.2024 and requested closure. However, a plain reading of my original RTI application (Registration No: DIRMH/R/2024/61103) proves that **not a single point of the required information has been answered** by either of the Respondents. The attached documents only trace the *complaint's progress*, whereas my RTI seeks specific administrative facts and legal accountability.

2. Point-Wise Rebuttal of the Withheld Information

:

- **Regarding Point 1 of the RTI:** I requested the specific legal provisions or rules under which active government doctors are permitted to run on-call private practices at Chhote Lal Bind Hospital, Baraut, Prayagraj. The respondents have completely hidden this fact. The provided report confirms that paramedical staff confessed that the government doctor was routinely called on-call via phone calls. The PIO must answer if any rule permits this, or provide a clean statement that it is entirely illegal under service rules.
- **Regarding Point 2 of the RTI:** Grounded in the foundational principle that the "**Right to Reason**" is an indispensable part of a sound administrative system, I demanded the reasons recorded in file notings for the total inaction of the CMO Prayagraj. The CMO Bhadohi had formally informed the Directorate via Letter No. 512 dated 31.01.2024 that a nameplate racket was operating at Chhote Lal Bind Hospital. The public authority cannot hide behind simple attachments; they must provide the reasons for sitting over the CMO Bhadohi's warning dossier for months.
- **Regarding Point 3 of the RTI:** I demanded the Action Taken Report (ATR) specifically detailing the legal or punitive action initiated against the private establishment—**Chhote Lal Bind Hospital, Baraut, Prayagraj**. While the state government penalized the doctor (withholding increment and censure entry), **the private hospital facility has been completely shielded by the CMO Prayagraj**. The respondents have refused to provide any certified copy of a

suspension, penalty, or cancellation order issued to the private hospital facility under the Clinical Establishments Act.

3. Deliberate Misdirection to Shield Corruption

The CMO Prayagraj is attempting to execute a classic administrative evasion. By highlighting that they forwarded the patient's grievance to the Director General, Lucknow due to "jurisdictional issues between Mirzapur and Prayagraj divisions," they are attempting to delay the flow of public information. The administrative jurisdiction over Chhote Lal Bind Hospital rests solely with the CMO Prayagraj, and the failure to provide point-wise information under the RTI Act is a deliberate attempt to protect an illegal private practice ecosystem.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

In light of the facts detailed above, it is most respectfully prayed that this Honourable Commission may be pleased to:

1. **Reject the dismissal application** filed by the Chief Medical Officer, Prayagraj, as it does not satisfy the statutory text of my point-wise RTI application.
2. **Issue a strict direction** to the Public Information Officer (Joint Director, Shri A.K. Srivastava) to provide unambiguous, certified, point-wise documentary information for all 3 points free of cost immediately.
3. **Impose a statutory penalty** of ₹250 per day up to a maximum of ₹25,000 under Section 20(1) of the RTI Act, 2005 on the delinquent PIO for willfully delaying and blocking the disclosure of corruption-related public information.
4. **Recommend disciplinary action** under Section 20(2) against the official machinery for shielding an illegal medical clinic.

Place: Mirzapur, Uttar Pradesh

Date: 06/07/2026

Respectfully Submitted By,

(Yogi M. P. Singh)

Human Rights Defender & Appellant

[Quoted text hidden]

2 attachments

 **MjAyNC0xMC0wMyAyMT0zMDo0MC42NTgyMjQ=.pdf**
256K

 **MjAyNC0xMC0wMyAyMT0zMDo0MC42NTgyMjQ= (1).pdf**
437K

Mahesh Pratap Singh <yogimpsingh@gmail.com>

To: CMO Prayagraj <cmoald@gmail.com>

Cc: hearingcourts2.upic@up.gov.in, adallahabad <adallahabad@gmail.com>, dmall <dmall@nic.in>

6 July 2026 at 22:22

SUPPLEMENTARY WRITTEN NOTE ON BEHALF OF THE APPELLANT

In the Matter of: Appeal Case No: S-02/A/1339/2025 (Reg. No: A-20241000147)

Most Respectfully Showeth:

1. The Appellant begs to bring on record a crucial subsequent development that completely demolishes the written request filed by the CMO Prayagraj to close this matter.
2. Following the initial spot inspections, a comprehensive inquiry was carried out by **Dr Shobhana Dubey, Additional Director (Medical and Health), Vindhyachal Mandal, Mirzapur**. Her statutory inquiry report formally **proved and upheld all earlier findings** regarding the operational private practice racket at Chhote Lal Bind Hospital, Baraut, Prayagraj.
3. Based on her irrefutable inquiry report, the Government of Uttar Pradesh, by order of the **Honourable Governor**, concluded the disciplinary actions under Section-3 rules by punishing the accused physician, Dr Pradeep Kumar Yadav, with **two severe adverse entries in his service record** (censure entry and stoppage of salary increment with cumulative effect).
4. This high-level government punishment establishes an absolute legal fact: **Chhote Lal Bind Hospital was the active venue where a serious service crime was committed.**
5. Under the Clinical Establishments (Registration and Regulation) Act, any private facility that abets, hosts, or runs an illegal commercial racket with public government doctors faces immediate suspension or cancellation of its operating license.
6. Therefore, the **CMO Prayagraj's continuous procrastination** and refusal to provide information on *Point No. 3* of my RTI application (Action Taken Report against the private hospital) is a deliberate attempt to suppress administrative collusion. The CMO Prayagraj cannot claim lack of evidence when the Governor of the state has already penalised the doctor for practicing at that exact private hospital.

PRAYER

The Appellant most respectfully prays that the Honourable Commission reject the CMO Prayagraj's misleading dismissal request, invoke Section 20(1) penalties for protracted withholding of information, and issue a strict direction to the respondents to provide the exact action logs and closure notices issued to Chhote Lal Bind Hospital.

Place: Mirzapur, Uttar Pradesh

Date: 06/07/2026

Respectfully Submitted By,

(Yogi M. P. Singh)

Human Rights Defender & Appellant

[Quoted text hidden]