



Mahesh Pratap Singh <yogimpsingh@gmail.com>

आपके वाद संख्या A-20260402727 की ऑनलाइन सुनवाई हेतु माध्यम/ लिंक है :
<https://upsic.up.gov.in/cispu/onlinehearing/1ab94b> उक्त वाद संख्या A-20260402727
की ऑनलाइन सुनवाई दिनांक 23/06/2026 समय 12:30 PM बजे से 02:00 PM बजे तक की
जाएगी | - CATS UPSIC

Mahesh Pratap Singh <yogimpsingh@gmail.com>
To: SHAKUNTALA GAUTAM <hearingcourts9.upic@up.gov.in>
Cc: ddamzp2012 <ddamzp2012@gmail.com>

23 June 2026 at 12:58

ADDENDUM TO THE WRITTEN REPRESENTATION / EMAIL

BEFORE THE HON'BLE STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, UTTAR PRADESH

RTI Bhavan, 7/7/A, Vibhuti Khand, Gomti Nagar, Lucknow, U.P.

In the Matter of: Yogi M. P. Singh vs. PIO / Deputy Director of Agriculture, Mirzapur

Reference Case/RTI No: S09/A/0581/2026 [*Yogimp RTI case No A-20260402727.pdf" verbatim*]

TO,

**THE HON'BLE STATE INFORMATION COMMISSIONER,
UTTAR PRADESH.**

SUBJECT: Written Representation submitted as an **Addendum to the earlier email** against the illegal, arbitrary, and evasive point-wise order issued by the PIO/Deputy Director of Agriculture, Mirzapur, vide Letter No. उ०कृ०नि०//519 / जन सूचना / 2026-27 dated 22.06.2026.

MOST RESPECTFULLY SHOWETH:

The Appellant begs to submit this Addendum to the previous email communication to bring on record the formal, legal rebuttal against the completely untenable, standardized rejection order passed by the Respondent PIO on 22.06.2026. The PIO has flatly denied information on all counts by misapplying Section 8(1)(j) of the RTI Act, 2005.

The point-wise legal counter-arguments are submitted as follows for the Hon'ble Commission's kind consideration:

1. Gross Misapplication of Section 8(1)(j) on Applicant's Own Information

- The Respondent PIO has blocked tracking details for Reference No. **20250119514266** on the ground of personal privacy.
- **Legal Counter:** As clearly documented in the file "**Yogimp RTI case No A-20260402727.pdf**", this specific application belongs directly to the

Applicant/Information Seeker himself (Shri Yogi M. P. Singh/Mahesh Pratap Singh).

- It is a settled principle of law that a public authority **cannot hide a citizen's own file records from the citizen himself** under the guise of "third-party privacy." Section 8(1)(j) can never be weaponized against the very individual to whom the personal data belongs.

2. Absence of Third-Party Grievance & Valid Biological Nexus

- The remaining two Reference Numbers—**20250119192467** and **20250119512524**—belong to Shri Arun Pratap Singh and Shri Keshav Pratap Singh, respectively.
- **Legal Counter:** These individuals are the immediate biological younger brothers of the Appellant. They are part of a shared familial and agricultural interest, and have authorized this query. There is zero unsolicited intrusion into a stranger's life.
- To ensure zero technical ambiguity, the Appellant is willing to furnish explicit no-objection affidavits from both brothers, which completely dissolves the PIO's "unwarranted invasion of privacy" defense.

3. Deliberate Concealment of Administrative Irregularities and Portal Contradictions

- The Appellant requested daily progress reports, movement sheets, and desk-official designations to identify why these critical agricultural files have been stagnant for over 10 months.
- **Legal Counter:** The PIO's office has routinely claimed that these files stand "*Rejected at the Tehsil level*". However, the state government's official **DARSHAN Portal** continues to actively display their status as "*Vicharadheen*" (Under Consideration).
- The PIO has deliberately invoked the Section 8(1)(j) exemption shield to block the certified copies of the alleged rejection remarks. This has been done solely to avoid anti-corruption scrutiny and to mask the clear manual manipulation and data mismatch between the local office records and the state public portal.

4. Clear Infringement of the Proviso to Section 8(1)(j)

- The processing tracking log, administrative movement, and disposal parameters of public welfare portals are transparent public operations.
- By virtue of the **Proviso to Section 8(1)(j) of the RTI Act, 2005**, information which cannot be denied to the Parliament or a State Legislature shall not be denied to any citizen. The efficiency or stagnation of a government desk dealing with farmer applications is highly reportable to the State Legislature, and therefore, cannot be legally withheld from the Appellant.

PRAYER / RELIEF SOUGHT

In light of the facts and the documentary evidence detailed in "**Yogimp RTI case No A-20260402727.pdf**", the Appellant most humbly prays that this Hon'ble Commission

may be pleased to:

1. **REJECT & SET ASIDE** the illegal and evasive response issued by the PIO / Deputy Director of Agriculture, Mirzapur, vide Letter No. उ०क०नि०//519 / जन सूचना / 2026-27 dated 22.06.2026.
2. **DIRECT** the Respondent PIO to provide complete, certified, unredacted copies of the daily progress reports, movement records, and official noting sheets concerning Reference Nos. 20250119514266, 20250119192467, and 20250119512524 within a strict time-bound period.
3. **INITIATE PENAL PROCEEDINGS** under Section 20(1) and Section 20(2) of the RTI Act, 2005 against the concerned official for malafide denial of information and intentional misapplication of law to cover up administrative failures.

Date: 23.06.2026

Place: Mirzapur, Uttar Pradesh

Respectfully Submitted,

(Yogi M. P. Singh)

Appellant / Information Seeker

Surekapuram Colony, Jabalpur Road, Mirzapur, U.P. - 231001

[Quoted text hidden]

 **Yogimp RTI case No A-20260402727.pdf**
1979K

कार्यालय उप कृषि निदेशक, मीरजापुर

पत्रांक- उ०कृ०नि०/१५१५ /जन सूचना /2026-27

दिनांक- 22-06-2026

श्री योगी एम०पी० सिंह पुत्र राजेन्द्र प्रताप सिंह,
सुरेकापुरम कालोनी, जबलपुर रोड,
जनपद मीरजापुर, उ०प्र०-231001

अपने प्रार्थना पत्र दिनांक 22-06-2026 का संदर्भ ग्रहण करें, जिसके द्वारा अधोहस्ताक्षरी के पत्रांक-1480 दिनांक 20.06.2026 द्वारा उपलब्ध करायी गयी सूचना पर आपत्ति की गई है। उक्त के क्रम में बिन्दुवार आख्या निम्नवत् है-

प्रश्न/आपत्ति	उत्तर
<p>1. Definitive Breakdown of the Applications (Strictly Personal and Familial Interest): The PIO's primary defense for invoking the Section 8(1)(j) privacy exemption falls apart on a foundational level because the three specific farmer registrations in question belong exclusively to the Appellant and his immediate biological brothers. The ownership is explicitly detailed as follows: Application 1: Belongs directly to the Applicant / Information Seeker himself (Shri Yogi M. P. Singh). Application 2: Belongs to Shri Arun Pratap Singh, who is the biological younger brother of the Applicant. Application 3: Belongs to Shri Keshav Pratap Singh, who is the biological youngest brother of the Applicant. Legal Argument: It is an established principle of law that Section 8(1)(j) cannot be weaponized against an individual seeking information regarding his own file, nor can it be used to mask the files of his immediate blood brothers who have jointly authorized this tracking. There is zero third-party privacy invasion involved here.</p>	<p>1-संदर्भ संख्या-20250119514266 (महेश प्रताप सिंह), 2-संदर्भ संख्या-20250119192467 (अरुण प्रताप सिंह) 3-संदर्भ संख्या-20250119512524 (केशव प्रताप सिंह) के नाम पर है। मांगी गई व्यक्तिगत जानकारी का सम्बन्ध किसी सार्वजनिक गतिविधि से सम्बन्धित नहीं है। अतः सूचना के अधिकार अधिनियम-2005 की धारा 8(1)(J) (सूचना, जो व्यक्तिगत सूचना से सम्बन्धित है) के तहत देय नहीं है।</p>
<p>2. Information Vital to the Functioning of a Public Authority: The Appellant is seeking the daily progress reports, movement records, and the names/designations of desk officials who have kept these applications stagnant for over ten months. The functioning, efficiency, and accountability of public servants on a government desk are entirely transparent public operations. The public has an absolute right to know how public funds, infrastructure portals, and agricultural applications are administered.</p>	<p>सूचना के अधिकार अधिनियम-2005 की धारा 8(1)(J) (सूचना, जो व्यक्तिगत सूचना से सम्बन्धित है) के तहत देय नहीं है।</p>

3. Malafide Intent Driven by Internal Irregularities and Corruption:

The Respondent PIO is explicitly unwilling to provide this information because doing so would create formal, legally binding evidence of the widespread corruption, deliberate stalling, and manual manipulation thriving inside the local department.

To bury their administrative failures, the PIO's office manually claims that these files are "Rejected at the Tehsil level".

Concurrently, the state's official DARSHAN Portal continues to display their status actively as "Vicharadheen" (Under Consideration).

If the rejections were genuine, lawful, and clean, the PIO would have proudly supplied the certified copy of the rejection remarks asked for in Point 3. The systematic denial under the guise of Section 8(1)(j) is a desperate defensive shield to escape anti-corruption scrutiny.

-संदर्भ संख्या- 20250119514266, 20250119192467, 20250119512524 उपरोक्त संदर्भ संख्या (लम्बित/अस्वीकृत/स्वीकृत) का विवरण सूचना के अधिकार अधिनियम-2005 की धारा 8(1)(J) (सूचना, जो व्यक्तिगत सूचना से सम्बन्धित है) के तहत देय नहीं है।

(विकेश कुमार),
उप कृषि निदेशक,
मीरजापुर

पत्रांक- उ0कृ0नि0/

/जन सूचना /2026-27

दिनांकित।

प्रतिलिपि- मा0 राज्य सूचना आयुक्त, उत्तर प्रदेश सूचना आयोग, 7/7/ए, RTI भवन, विभूति खंड, गोमती नगर लखनऊ की सेवा में सादर प्रेषित।

उप कृषि निदेशक,
मीरजापुर

3. Malafide Intent Driven by Internal Irregularities and Corruption:

The Respondent PIO is explicitly unwilling to provide this information because doing so would create formal, legally binding evidence of the widespread corruption, deliberate stalling, and manual manipulation thriving inside the local department.

To bury their administrative failures, the PIO's office manually claims that these files are "Rejected at the Tehsil level".

Concurrently, the state's official DARSHAN Portal continues to display their status actively as "Vicharadheen" (Under Consideration).

If the rejections were genuine, lawful, and clean, the PIO would have proudly supplied the certified copy of the rejection remarks asked for in Point 3. The systematic denial under the guise of Section 8(1)(j) is a desperate defensive shield to escape anti-corruption scrutiny.

-संदर्भ संख्या- 20250119514288, 20250119192467, 20250119512524 उपरोक्त संदर्भ संख्या (लम्बित / अस्वीकृत / स्वीकृत) का विवरण सूचना के अधिकार अधिनियम-2005 की धारा 8(1)(j) (सूचना, जो व्यक्तिगत सूचना से सम्बन्धित है) के तहत देय नहीं है।

(विकेश कुमार),
उप कृषि निदेशक,
मीरजापुर

पत्रांक- उ0कृ0नि0 / 1519 / जन सूचना / 2026-27

दिनांकित।

प्रतिलिपि- मा0 राज्य सूचना आयुक्त, उत्तर प्रदेश सूचना आयोग, 7/7/ए, RTI भवन, विभूति खंड, गोमती नगर लखनऊ की सेवा में सादर प्रेषित।

उप कृषि निदेशक,
मीरजापुर