



Mahesh Pratap Singh <yogimpsingh@gmail.com>

आपके वाद संख्या A-20260402727 की ऑनलाइन सुनवाई हेतु माध्यम/ लिंक है :
<https://upsic.up.gov.in/cispu/onlinehearing/1ab94b> उक्त वाद संख्या A-20260402727
की ऑनलाइन सुनवाई दिनांक 23/06/2026 समय 12:30 PM बजे से 02:00 PM बजे तक की
जाएगी | - CATS UPSIC

2 messages

SHAKUNTALA GAUTAM <hearingcourts9.upic@up.gov.in>
To: yogimpsingh <yogimpsingh@gmail.com>
Cc: ddamzp2012 <ddamzp2012@gmail.com>

12 June 2026 at 15:11

2727 (1).pdf
15387K

Mahesh Pratap Singh <yogimpsingh@gmail.com>
To: SHAKUNTALA GAUTAM <hearingcourts9.upic@up.gov.in>
Cc: ddamzp2012 <ddamzp2012@gmail.com>

22 June 2026 at 10:49

**BEFORE THE HONORABLE STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION,
UTTAR PRADESH**

7/7/A, RTI Bhawan, Vibhuti Khand, Gomti Nagar, Lucknow

Appeal No.: A-20260402727

Case No.: 509/A/0581/2026

Notice No.: 202605509N100065 dated 22.05.2026

IN THE MATTER OF:

Appellant:

Shri Yogi M. P. Singh S/o Shri Rajendra Pratap Singh,

R/o Surekapuram Colony, Jabalpur Road, District - Mirzapur, U.P. - 231001

Respondent / PIO:

Deputy Director of Agriculture (DDA), Mirzapur, U.P.

**SUBJECT: REVISED REJOINDER / WRITTEN SUBMISSION BY THE
APPELLANT CLARIFYING FAMILIAL INTEREST AND EXPOSING
DEPARTMENTAL CORRUPTION**

Respected Sir/Madam,

In immediate continuation of the Second Appeal, the Appellant begs to submit
this comprehensive Written Submission to directly dismantle the PIO's evasive

defense (Letter No. 1480/Jan-Suchana/2026-27 dated 20.06.2026) and lay bare the deep-rooted institutional irregularities:

1. Definitive Breakdown of the Applications (Strictly Personal and Familial Interest):

The PIO's primary defense for invoking the Section 8(1)(j) privacy exemption falls apart on a foundational level because the three specific farmer registrations in question belong exclusively to the Appellant and his immediate biological brothers. The ownership is explicitly detailed as follows:

- **Application 1:** Belongs directly to the **Applicant / Information Seeker himself (Shri Yogi M. P. Singh)**.
- **Application 2:** Belongs to **Shri Arun Pratap Singh**, who is the biological **younger brother** of the Applicant.
- **Application 3:** Belongs to **Shri Keshav Pratap Singh**, who is the biological **youngest brother** of the Applicant.

Legal Argument: It is an established principle of law that Section 8(1)(j) cannot be weaponized against an individual seeking information regarding his own file, nor can it be used to mask the files of his immediate blood brothers who have jointly authorized this tracking. There is zero third-party privacy invasion involved here.

2. Information Vital to the Functioning of a Public Authority:

The Appellant is seeking the daily progress reports, movement records, and the names/designations of desk officials who have kept these applications stagnant for over ten months. The functioning, efficiency, and accountability of public servants on a government desk are entirely transparent public operations. The public has an absolute right to know how public funds, infrastructure portals, and agricultural applications are administered.

3. Malafide Intent Driven by Internal Irregularities and Corruption:

The Respondent PIO is explicitly unwilling to provide this information because doing so would create formal, legally binding evidence of the widespread corruption, deliberate stalling, and manual manipulation thriving inside the local department.

- To bury their administrative failures, the PIO's office manually claims that these files are *"Rejected at the Tehsil level"*.
- Concurrently, the state's official **DARSHAN Portal** continues to display their status actively as **"Vicharadheen" (Under Consideration)**.
- If the rejections were genuine, lawful, and clean, the PIO would have proudly supplied the certified copy of the rejection remarks asked for in Point 3. The systematic denial under the guise of Section 8(1)(j) is a desperate defensive shield to escape anti-corruption scrutiny.

PRAYER / RELIEF SOUGHT

Considering the undeniable public interest and the evident intent to suppress administrative tracking records, the Appellant respectfully prays that this Honorable Commission:

1. **Direct the Respondent PIO** to immediately break the illegal embargo on these files and provide certified, point-by-point copies of the requested information to the Appellant free of cost within a fixed timeline.
2. **Impose Maximum Statutory Penalty (\$₹25,000\$)** under Section 20(1) of the RTI Act upon the PIO for deliberately misleading the Commission and intentionally misapplying Section 8(1)(j) to obscure systemic processing failures.
3. **Order an Independent Administrative Inquiry** into the working of the Office of the Deputy Director of Agriculture, Mirzapur, concerning the discrepancies between portal data updates and manual rejection claims.

Date: 22.06.2026

Place: Mirzapur

Yours Faithfully,

(Yogi M. P. Singh)

Appellant

Mob: 7379105911

On Fri, 12 Jun 2026 at 15:13, SHAKUNTALA GAUTAM
<hearingcourts9.upic@up.gov.in> wrote:

|

 **Yogimp RTI case No A-20260402727.pdf**
2034K