


Online RTI Request Form Details

Public Authority Details :-		Print
* Public Authority	Uttar Pradesh Information Commission	
Personal Details of RTI Applicant:-		
Registration Number	UPICM/R/2026/60247	
Date of Filing	01/05/2026	
* Name	Kiran Singh	
Gender	Female	
* Address	Ali Nagar Sunahla	
Pincode	226001	
State	Uttar Pradesh	
Educational Status	Literate	
	Above Graduate	
Phone	Details not provided	
Mobile	+91-868759XXXX View	
Email	kiransingh9389752386[at]gmail[dot]com	
Citizenship	Indian	
* Is the Applicant Below Poverty Line ?	No	
RTI Application Details u/s 6(1) :-		
((Description of Information sought (upto 500 characters))		
* Description of Information Sought	<p>To The Public Information Officer PIO Uttar Pradesh Information Commission 7/7A RTI Bhawa Vibhuti Khand Gomti Nagar Lucknow - 226010 Subject Request for Information under Section 6(1) of the RTI Act 2005 1 Particulars of Information Sought Regarding Appeal No S05/A/0106/2025, which shows a status of Disposed on April 29 2026, please provide the following information A Certified Copy of the Order Provide a certified copy of the Final Reasoned Order passed by the Honourable State Information Commissioner S-5 on April 29 202 B Officer Accountability Provide the name and designation of the official staff member responsible for uploading the final orders to the Commissions official portal for Court No S-5 C Delay Justification Provide a copy of the Citizens Charter or departmental guidelines specifying the maximum time limit within which a Disposed order must be uploaded to the public portal after the hearing D Status of Representation Provide the daily progress report Action Taken Report on the representation filed by the appellant via Diary No D-010520260033 on May 1 202 E File Inspection Under Section 2(j)(i) of the RTI Act please fix a date and time for the applicant to inspect the physical case file of Appeal No S05/A/0106/2025 to verify the presence of the signed final order 2 Applicant Details Name Kiran Singh Address Ali Nagar Sunahla PS Krishna Nagar Lucknow UP - 226001 Mobile 8687593247 3 Application Fee I have attached the application fee of 10 via Postal Order No / Online Transaction ID Note If filing online via the UP RTI portal select State Information Commission as the department 4 Declaration I am a citizen of India I request that the information be provided in printed, certified format or via email Date Ma 1, 2026 Place Lucknow</p>	
* Concerned PIO	MUMTAZ AHMAD	
Designation	ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER	
Mobile	915180XXXX View	

Email	jansu-section[dot]upic[at]up[dot]gov[dot]in
Supporting document ((only pdf upto 1 MB))	





Kiran Singh <kiransingh9389752386@gmail.com>

Uploading of the Final Reasoned Order & Restoration/Re-opening of Appeal

1 message

Kiran Singh <kiransingh9389752386@gmail.com>

1 May 2026 at 20:39

To: hearingcourts5.upic@up.gov.in, cp-pol.lu@up.gov.in, jcp-polhq.lu@up.gov.in, jcp-pollo.lu@up.gov.in

BEFORE THE HON'BLE STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION (S-5)**UTTAR PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION, LUCKNOW****File No:** S05/A/0106/2025**Diary No:** D-010520260032**Appellant:** Kiran Singh**Respondent:** Public Information Officer, Office of the Commissioner of Police, Lucknow**Subject: Representation for (1) Uploading of the Final Reasoned Order and (2) Restoration/Re-opening of Appeal due to continued non-compliance by the PIO.****Most Respected Sir,**

In reference to the aforementioned appeal, the appellant respectfully submits the following facts for the Hon'ble Commission's urgent consideration:

1. Regarding the Disposal Status and Missing Order

The Commission's official portal states that a "Final order was passed and the case was disposed of" on **April 29, 2026**. However, as of today, the written Reasoned Order has not been uploaded to the portal. The appellant, in exercise of their right to a reasoned order, requests the immediate upload and issuance of the same.

2. Persistent Non-Compliance and Misleading Claims The Respondent (Police) claimed during the hearing on February 11, 2026, that information was provided on November 14, 2025. The appellant categorically denies this and reiterates that:

—

- The information previously labeled as **"incomplete and misleading"** by this Commission on September 11, 2025, has still not been corrected.

—

- The PIO has failed to provide the legal basis for the "common road" classification (Q.1) and the legal provisions regarding the detention of the appellant's family (Q.2).

—

- The Sub-Inspector's report dated December 1, 2025, remains an evasive "red herring" intended to derail the RTI process by labeling it a "revenue matter".

—

3. Grounds for Restoration of the Appeal Because the case has been "Disposed" without the actual delivery of the point-wise information directed in the Commission's order dated September 11, 2025, the appellant prays for the restoration of the matter. The PIO's conduct constitutes a deliberate attempt to mislead the Commission by claiming "information provided" while effectively withholding the specific data sought.

—

Prayer

In light of the persistent refusal of the Lucknow Police to comply with RTI mandates, the appellant respectfully prays that this Hon'ble Commission:

1. **Direct the Registry** to immediately upload and provide a certified copy of the Final Reasoned Order dated April 29, 2026.
2. **Restore/Re-open the Appeal** under the Commission's inherent powers, as the PIO has still not provided the information.
3. **Initiate Penal Proceedings** under Section 20(1) and 20(2) of the RTI Act against the PIO for providing misleading facts and obstructing the flow of information.

—

Dated: May 1, 2026

Place: Lucknow

Respectfully Submitted,

Kiran Singh Appellant Mobile: 8687593247

—

2 attachments



MjAyNi0wNS0wMSAyMDoxNDowOC45MDU1MTI=.pdf
369K



Order2026_02_699981e31ceef.pdf
287K



उत्तर प्रदेश सूचना आयोग
7/7ए, आर0टी0आई0 भवन, विभूति खण्ड, गोमती नगर, लखनऊ

अपील संख्या एस-05/ए/0106/2025

अपीलकर्ता / शिकायतकर्ता	प्रतिवादी
सुश्री किरन सिंह	पुलिस कमिश्नरेट, लखनऊ
समक्ष : श्री पदुम नारायण द्विवेदी, मा0 राज्य सूचना आयुक्त।	

पत्रावली प्रस्तुत हुई। पुकार करायी गई। अपीलकर्ता अनुपस्थित है। प्रतिवादी पक्ष की ओर से श्री विजय कुमार, निरीक्षक, थाना कृष्णानगर, लखनऊ उपस्थित हुए।

धारा	मांगी गई सूचना का सार
6(1)	आवेदक द्वारा भूमि समझौतों और पुलिस जांच रिपोर्ट के आधार पर सड़क के स्वामित्व के संबंध में बिन्दुवार विशिष्ट की सूचना मांगी है।

प्रकरण में उपस्थित प्रतिवादी पक्ष का कथन है कि अपीलकर्ता को उनके मूल आवेदन के क्रम में वांछित सूचनाएं दिनांक-14.11.2025 को उपलब्ध करायी जा चुकी हैं।

आदेश

अपीलकर्ता को अभिमत प्रस्तुत करने का अवसर—उक्तानुसार अपीलकर्ता को निर्देशित किया जाता है कि वह प्रकरण की अगली सुनवाई तिथि पर आयोग के समक्ष उपस्थित प्रतिवादी पक्ष के उक्त कथन पर लिखित अभिमत प्रस्तुत करें। वाद वास्ते अग्रिम सुनवाई दिनांक 29.04.2026 को पेश हों।

11.02.2026



Kiran Singh <kiransingh9389752386@gmail.com>

Written Representation regarding non-compliance and submission of misleading information by the PIO in File No. S05/A/0106/2025.

1 message

Kiran Singh <kiransingh9389752386@gmail.com>

11 February 2026 at 13:41

To: hearingcourts5.upic@up.gov.in

To,

The Hon'ble State Information Commissioner (S-5), Uttar Pradesh Information Commission,

Lucknow.

Subject: Written Representation regarding non-compliance and submission of misleading information by the PIO in File No. S05/A/0106/2025.

Most Respected Sir,

In reference to the ongoing appeal, the appellant, Kiran Singh, respectfully submits the following representation regarding the deliberate failure of the Public Information Officer (PIO) to comply with the Commission's orders:

1. Deliberate Contempt of Commission's Order

- In the order dated September 11, 2025, this Hon'ble Commission categorically observed that the information previously provided by the police was "incomplete and misleading".
- Despite the Commission's explicit directive to provide the desired information and appear for the hearing on December 1, 2025, the PIO has failed to provide point-wise, accurate data.

2. Submission of Evasive and Redundant Reports

- The PIO, through the report signed by Sub-Inspector Ashish Kumar dated December 1, 2025, has reiterated the same defensive stance that the matter is "revenue-related".
- This report is a "red herring" intended to derail the RTI process, as it focuses on the appellant's land documents rather than answering specific questions about police conduct.

3. Failure to Address Specific RTI Queries

The PIO has completely evaded the following critical points of the original RTI application:

- **Police Basis (Q. 1):** The legal basis/investigative report used by the police to conclude that the path in question is a "common road".

- **Police Misconduct (Q. 2):** The legal provision justifying the detention/confinement of the appellant's family members at Krishnanagar Police Station.
- **Personnel Details (Q. 3):** Posting details of Kamla Dayal and Manoj Kumar Solanki to determine if they are influencing the investigation.

4. Prayer for Action

In light of the PIO's persistent refusal to comply with the Commission's directions, the appellant respectfully prays:

1. **Rejection of the Report:** That the misleading report dated December 1, 2025, be rejected and withdrawn.
2. **Imposition of Penalty:** That the Commission initiate penal proceedings against the PIO under **Section 20(1) and 20(2)** of the RTI Act for willfully withholding information and providing misleading facts.
3. **Direction for Information:** That the PIO be ordered to provide complete, point-wise information within a strict time frame.

Dated: February 11, 2026

Appellant: Kiran Singh

Mobile: 8687593247



MjAyNS0xMi0wMiAxOTowNDowNy45Nzk5Nw==.pdf
260K



Kiran Singh <kiransingh9389752386@gmail.com>

Representation: Objection to Sub-Inspector's Report Dated December 1, 2025

1 message

Kiran Singh <kiransingh9389752386@gmail.com>

2 December 2025 at 18:55

To: cp-pol.lu@up.gov.in, jcp-pollo.lu@up.gov.in, jcp-polhq.lu@up.gov.in, jansuchanalko@gmail.com, dcphqoffice@gmail.com

Representation: Objection to Sub-Inspector's Report Dated December 1, 2025**To,**

The Most Respected Commissioner of Police,
Lucknow Commissionerate.

Copy To,

The Public Information Officer (PIO),
Office of the Commissioner of Police, Lucknow.

Date: December 2, 2025**Subject: Objection and Representation Against the Report Submitted by Sub-Inspector Ashish Kumar, P.S. Krishnanagar, Dated December 1, 2025, and Subsequent Action in RTI Appeal (File No. S05/A/0106/2025).**

Most Respected Sir/Madam,

I, Kiran Singh, the appellant in the aforementioned matter, hereby submit a strong objection to the report dated **December 1, 2025**, signed by Sub-Inspector Ashish Kumar (UT), Police Station Krishnanagar, which was presented by the Respondent's representative, Sub-Inspector Vinay Singh, before the Uttar Pradesh Information Commission.

1. Undermining the Commission's Authority

The report of December 1, 2025, is a clear **mockery of the law** and constitutes a serious attempt to undermine the authority of the Hon'ble Uttar Pradesh Information Commission.

- The Commission, in its Order dated **September 11, 2025**, had categorically reviewed the previously provided information and found it to be **"incomplete and misleading."**
- Consequently, the Commission issued a clear directive to the **SHO, Krishna Nagar, Lucknow**, to provide the desired information and appear for the hearing scheduled for **December 1, 2025**.
- Despite this explicit order, the Sub-Inspector's report reiterates the same defensive stance, concluding that the matter is **"revenue-related"** and action is

not appropriate at the police level. This conclusion directly contradicts the spirit and letter of the Commission's finding that the police's previous response was misleading.

2. Evasion of Accountability (Throwing the Ball to the Revenue Department)

The police department is attempting to escape accountability by continuously declaring the matter to be purely a revenue concern.

- The sought-after RTI information is not merely about land ownership, but specifically about the **Police Department's conduct** and the internal basis of its various reports:
 - **Police Basis:** On what basis did the police conclude that the land/path is a 'common road' in its investigative reports? (RTI Q. 1)
 - **Police Misconduct:** What is the legal provision that justifies police connivance in land grabbing and the subsequent **detention/confinement of my family members** at Krishnanagar Police Station? (RTI Q. 2)
 - **Police Personnel Details:** Information regarding the posting details of **Kamla Dayal and Manoj Kumar Solanki**, who I allege are colleagues of the policemen posted in Lucknow and may be influencing the investigation. (RTI Q. 3)

The Sub-Inspector's report avoids answering these critical questions concerning police conduct and accountability, instead focusing solely on the applicant's failure to provide land documents—a red herring intended to derail the RTI process.

3. Prayer for Action

In light of the above facts, which demonstrate a deliberate failure to comply with the directions of the Information Commission and a refusal to be transparent about police conduct, I respectfully pray to the Most Respected Commissioner of Police:

1. To immediately **reject and withdraw** the misleading and evasive report dated December 1, 2025, submitted by Sub-Inspector Ashish Kumar.
2. To initiate an **immediate, high-level internal inquiry** into the allegations of misconduct, high-handedness (detention of family members), and corruption concerning the concerned personnel of Krishnanagar Police Station, particularly in relation to Kamla Dayal and Manoj Kumar Solanki.
3. To ensure that the **Public Information Officer** provides complete, non-misleading, and point-wise information to the appellant in strict compliance with the letter and spirit of the Uttar Pradesh Information Commission's order, under threat of action as per Section 20 of the RTI Act.

I trust that your esteemed office will take immediate and appropriate action to uphold the rule of law and ensure accountability within the Commissionerate.

Yours sincerely,

Kiran Singh W/O Narendra Pratap Singh

02/12/2025, 18:55

Gmail - Representation: Objection to Sub-Inspector's Report Dated December 1, 2025

Mobile number: 8687593247

Ali Nagar Sunahla, P.S. Krishna Nagar,

District-Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh, PIN Code-226001



kirandoc.pdf

134K

श्रीमती किरन सिंह बनाम ज0सू0अधि0 कार्यालय पुलिस कमिश्नरेट, लखनऊ

समक्ष: श्री पदुम नारायण द्विवेदी, मा0 राज्य सूचना आयुक्त, उत्तर प्रदेश।

आदेश

पुकार करायी गयी। अपीलकर्ता उपस्थित हैं। प्रतिवादी पक्ष की ओर से श्री विनय सिंह, पुलिस उप निरीक्षक, कार्यालय पुलिस कमिश्नरेट, लखनऊ उपस्थित हुए। प्रतिवादी पक्ष का कथन है कि अपीलकर्ता को वांछित सूचनाएं उपलब्ध करायी जा चुकी हैं। आयोग द्वारा सूचनाओं का अवलोकन किया गया। पाया गया कि जो सूचनाएं दी गयी है वह अपूर्ण एवं भ्रामक है।

अतः न्यायहित में प्रतिवादी थानाध्यक्ष, कृष्णा नगर, लखनऊ एवं तहसीलदार, तहसील सरोजनी नगर, लखनऊ को अपीलकर्ता के मूल आवेदन की प्रति संलग्न करते हुए पृथक-पृथक नोटिस जारी की जाए कि वह अपीलकर्ता को उनके मूल आवेदन के अन्तर्गत वांछित सूचनाएं अगले दो सप्ताह के अंदर पंजीकृत डाक के माध्यम से प्रेषित करें तथा प्रकरण की अगली सुनवाई तिथि पर उपस्थित होकर अपना पक्ष रखें, अन्यथा की स्थिति में क्यों न आपके विरुद्ध सूचना का अधिकार अधिनियम 2005 की धारा 20(1) व 20(2) के तहत नियमानुसार दण्डात्मक कार्यवाही की जा सकती है। अपील वारंते अगली सुनवाई हेतु दि० 01. 12.2025 को पेश हो।

11.09.2025

सेवा में,

श्रीमान जनसूचना अधिकारी महोदय
कमिश्नरेट लखनऊ।

द्वारा - जरिये उचित माध्यम
महोदय,

सादर अवगत कराना है कि आवेदिका के द्वारा लगाये गये आरोपों के सम्बंध में चौकी प्रभारी द्वारा पूर्व में आख्या प्रेषित की जा चुकी है आवेदिका द्वारा लगाये जा रहे आरोप राजस्व से सम्बंधित है। आवेदिका श्रीमती किरन का एक प्लॉट जिसका कुल क्षेत्रफल 2615 वर्ग फीट है जिसे श्रीमती शिमला देवी पत्नी रामसहाय से क्रय किया था। उसी से सटा हुआ भाग पूर्व श्रीमती विद्यावती पत्नी स्व० राधेश्याम नि० 39 लक्ष्मणपुरी फैजाबाद रोड लखनऊ का था जिसमे से मनोज कुमार सोलंकी व कमला दयाल ने 700 वर्गफीट जमीन का बैनामा कराया था। जिसका दाखिल खारिज दिनांक 05.01.2022 को हो चुका है। आवेदिका से प्रार्थना पत्र पर अंकित आरोपो से सम्बंधित भूमि का प्रपत्र मांगे गये किंतु आवेदिका द्वारा आरोपित भूमि से सम्बंधित कोई कागजात प्रस्तुत नहीं किया गया। आवेदिका द्वारा मात्र सतही तौर 16 फुट का रास्ता अपना होना बताया जा रहा है। आवेदिका का प्रकरण राजस्व से सम्बंधित है। राजस्व अभिलेखों में अंकित विवरण के आधार पर ही आवेदिका के प्रार्थना पत्र का निस्तारण सम्भव है। पुलिस स्तर से कोई कार्यवाही किया जाना उचित प्रतीत नहीं होता है।

रिपोर्ट सादर सेवा में प्रेषित है।



आशीष कुमार
(30नि०)
थाना कृष्णानगर
लखनऊ

श्रीमानजी,

संप्रसादित

1.12.25

प्रयोगी निरीक्षक