

**BEFORE THE UTTAR PRADESH STATE INFORMATION
COMMISSION, LUCKNOW**

SECOND APPEAL UNDER SECTION 19(3) OF THE RTI ACT, 2005

1. APPELLANT DETAILS

- **Name:** Mahima Maurya
- **Address:** Village- Gorsar Sarpati, Mohalla-Gorsar Sarpatti, PIN-231303, Uttar Pradesh
- **Mobile:** 7355543503
- **Email:** mahimamauryagonasar@gmail.com

2. RESPONDENT DETAILS (PIO & FAA)

Public Information Officer (PIO):

- **Designation:** CMO (Chief Medical Officer)
- **Office:** CMO Office, Mirzapur
- **Mobile:** 9454455171
- **Email:** cmomzp@gmail.com

First Appellate Authority (FAA):

- **Designation:** AD (Additional Director)
- **Office:** AD Mirzapur
- **Mobile:** 8005192626
- **Email:** admhmzp1@gmail.com

3. DETAILS OF THE RTI APPLICATION

- **RTI Registration Number:** DIRMH/R/2025/66431
- **Date of Filing:** 08/10/2025
- **First Appeal Number:** DIRMH/A/2025/62015
- **Date of First Appeal:** 13/12/2025

**4. POINTS OF INFORMATION SOUGHT (UNSATISFACTORY
RESPONSE)**

(1)

महिमा मौर्या

The appellant is aggrieved by the delayed and misleading reply received on 30/12/2025 regarding the following points:

1. **Clinical Negligence:** Why an X-ray was not conducted at CHC Vindhyachal despite a subsequent X-ray at Tej Bahadur Sapru Hospital confirming a thumb fracture. The PIO's reply (Point 1) claiming "no necessity felt" is medically contradictory to the actual injury.
2. **Doctor's Posting:** Posting details of Dr. S.K. Singh (Medical Officer), specifically his tenure at CHC Vindhyachal starting 21.04.2010.
3. **Initial Appointment:** First posting details of the said doctor in Mirzapur district as of 20.04.2010.
4. **Equipment Readiness:** Status of the one (1) functional X-ray machine at CHC Vindhyachal.
5. **Protocols:** The specific Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) and guidelines for medical examinations in police cases.
6. **Accountability:** Information regarding departmental action for the submission of a misleading medical report.

5. GROUNDS FOR APPEAL

1. **Delay in Information:** The original RTI was filed on 08/10/2025 , but the PIO only provided a response on 30/12/2025, violating the 30-day statutory limit under Section 7(1).
2. **Misleading Statements:** The PIO states Dr. S.K. Singh did not see a need for an X-ray. This is factually misleading as the appellant possessed a confirmed fracture report from another government hospital.
3. **Incomplete Records:** No actual copies of the SOPs (Point 5) were provided, only a vague statement that they exist.

6. PRAYER / RELIEF SOUGHT

The Appellant prays that the Honourable Commission:

(2)

महिमा श्रीवा

1. Direct the PIO to provide the complete, accurate guidelines requested in Point 5.
2. Inquire into the conflicting medical reports which suggest a miscarriage of justice.
3. Impose a penalty on the PIO under **Section 20(1)** for the unexcused delay of over 80 days.
4. Direct the public authority to compensate the appellant for the hardship caused.

Verification:

I, Mahima Maurya, declare that the facts stated above are true to my knowledge.

Date: 10/04/2026

Place: Mirzapur

• महिमा मौर्या

Mahima Maurya

(3) • महिमा मौर्या