



Mahesh Pratap Singh <yogimpsingh@gmail.com>

08-04-2026

Mahesh Pratap Singh <yogimpsingh@gmail.com>

7 April 2026 at 12:52

To: SHAKUNTALA GAUTAM <hearingcourts9.upic@up.gov.in>, Superintendent of Police Mirzapur <spmzr-up@nic.in>

Cc: AJAY KUMAR SINGH <asp-op.mi@up.gov.in>

BEFORE THE HON'BLE STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, UTTAR PRADESH**Appeal No:** S-09/A/2210/2025**Registration No:** A-20250901935**Yogi M. P. Singh ... Appellant****Vs.****Public Information Officer (PIO), Office of Superintendent of Police, Mirzapur ... Respondent****WRITTEN REPRESENTATION AND OBJECTION TO THE RESPONDENT'S SUBMISSIONS****Most Respected Sir,**

The Appellant respectfully submits the following structured objections against the misleading and contradictory information provided by the PIO:

1. Deliberate Violation of the "Right to Reason" (Objection to Point 1)

- The Appellant sought the specific name and designation of the official who concluded that a revenue-related grievance regarding a Lekhpal's non-compliance pertained to "police working".
—
- The PIO provided a generic description of investigative processes rather than identifying the decision-maker.
—
- This evasion is a deliberate attempt to obstruct administrative accountability and hide the official responsible for misclassifying a judicial matter as a police dispute.
—

2. Provision of Mutually Exclusive and False Information (Objection to Points 3 & 4)

- In response to Point 3, the PIO claimed the concerned officer "complied with it and got it complied with".
—
- Contrarily, in response to Point 4, the PIO admitted that "no orders have been complied with" due to a lack of instructions from the Court.
—
- These contradictory statements prove a *mala fide* intent to mislead this Hon'ble Commission regarding the status of Case No. T202216530107209.
—

3. Misuse of Police Power as a Pressure Tactic

- The Police Department "entertained" a strictly revenue-specific grievance only to apply **Sections 126/135 of the BNSS** (preventive measures).
—
- This reinforcement of preliminary measures was an illegal substitution for the execution of a judicial partition order.
—
- The use of preventive action against the parties served only to pressure the Appellant and shield the **Lekhpal of Babura** and **Tehsildar Sadar** from accountability for failing to submit the mandatory 'Fhaat' (partition) report.
—

4. Irrefutable Evidence of Persistent Non-Compliance

- Official revenue records from the **Additional Officer, Sadar, dated September 2, 2025**, confirm the Lekhpal had failed to provide the required partition report.
—
- Handwritten entries on court documents dated **November 26, 2025**, provide conclusive proof that the litigation remained active and non-compliant nearly six months after the PIO claimed the matter was "settled".
—

5. Statutory Breaches of the RTI Act, 2005

- **Section 6(3) Violation:** Upon determining the matter was revenue-related on May 21, 2025, the PIO was legally mandated to transfer the RTI within 5 days but failed to do so.
—

- **Section 8(1)(j) Misapplication:** The PIO illegally denied the educational qualifications and posting history of **Circle Officer Vivek Jawla**, which are matters of public record essential for transparency.

—

PRAYER

In light of the documented evidence of bad faith and the recent notices issued by this Commission to the **Tehsildar Sadar** and **SDM Sadar**, the Appellant humbly prays that this Hon'ble Commission may:

1. **Reject** the PIO's written statement as false and misleading.

—

2. **Impose a penalty** of ₹250 per day on the PIO under **Section 20(1)** for obstructing the flow of information.

—

3. **Recommend disciplinary action** under **Section 20(2)** for dereliction of duty and misuse of police power to shield corrupt executive officers.

—

Date: 07/04/2026

Place: Mirzapur

Respectfully Submitted,

Yogi M. P. Singh

Appellant

[Quoted text hidden]



अपील संख्या - S09-A-2210-2025 पंजीकरण संख्या- 20250901935 अपीलार्थी योगी एमपी सिंह.pdf
8146K