



Mahesh Pratap Singh <yogimpsingh@gmail.com>

Next booking after 35 days of delivery, and no delivery even after one month from the date of booking of refill, which means one gas cylinder in two months or more reflects anarchy.

Mahesh Pratap Singh <yogimpsingh@gmail.com>

29 April 2026 at 16:01

To: manikbiswas@indianoil.in, Chief Minister <cmup@nic.in>, PMO India <connect@mygov.nic.in>, PMO India <indiaportal@gov.in>, pmo.applications@gov.in

To

The Nodal Officer / District Supply Officer

Department of Food and Civil Supplies

Mirzapur, Uttar Pradesh

Subject

Detailed Representation Regarding Systemic Suppression of LPG Refills, Misuse of the 35-Day Rule, and Alleged Diversion of Domestic Cylinders into the Black Market by Asmita Gas Service (SAP-155283)

Respected Sir / Madam,

I, Yogi M. P. Singh, resident of **Surekapuram Colony, Mirzapur**, is constrained to submit this detailed representation to bring to your urgent notice a **systemic, recurring, and coordinated denial of domestic LPG supply by Asmita Gas Service (SAP-155283)**.

This representation is not an isolated grievance. Instead, it is supported by **multi-consumer evidence from a single residential cluster**, indicating an **organised pattern of delivery suppression and possible diversion of domestic LPG cylinders**.

1. Core Issue: One Cylinder in Two Months — A State of Anarchy

Under the current practice enforced by the distributor:

- A consumer is compelled to wait **35 days after delivery** to place the next booking.
- Despite booking after the mandatory gap, **delivery is delayed for another 25–40 days**.
- Effectively, one cylinder is received **once every two months or more**.

This translates into **only 6 cylinders per year**, despite a government-approved entitlement of **12 domestic cylinders annually**.

Such an outcome reflects **administrative anarchy**, not policy.

It defeats the very purpose of welfare-based LPG distribution.

2. Digital Invoices Without Physical Delivery

The distributor is allegedly adopting a method of “**record fulfilment without material fulfilment**”, which includes:

- Generation of **digital invoices** in the Indian Oil system.
- Issuance of **Delivery Authentication Codes (DACs)**.
- Acceptance of **complete digital payments**.
- **Non-delivery of the physical cylinder** thereafter.

This creates a false impression of compliance in monitoring systems.

Documented Consumer Evidence

Consumer 1

- Name: **Shiv Lakhan Tiwari**
- Consumer ID: **519936137338**
- Invoice & DAC: **524436 (26 March 2026)**
- Status: **No delivery till date**

Consumer 2

- Name: **Kaniti Devi**
- Consumer ID: **722412107991**
- Invoice & DAC: **427897 (26 March 2026)**
- Status: **No delivery till date**

Consumer 3 (Self)

- Name: **Yogi M. P. Singh**
- Consumer No.: **CX10221714**
- Digital Payment: **₹976.50 on 23 April 2026**
- Transaction ID: **114459986280**
- Status: **Delivery withheld for more than one month**

These cases demonstrate a **coordinated delivery suppression**, not sporadic delay.

3. Unauthorised Transfers Creating a Jurisdictional Vacuum

Consumers are being **shifted between Asmita Gas Service and Adhunik Gas Agency** without consent or written notice.

As a result:

- One distributor claims the consumer is “not under its jurisdiction”.
- The other denies responsibility for the delivery history.
- The consumer is left without service, clarity, or accountability.

This **administrative vacuum** allows both agencies to evade responsibility while **physical stock disappears from domestic circulation**.

4. Misuse of the 35-Day Refill Guideline

The **35-day refill gap** is being misrepresented as a rigid prohibition rather than a guideline.

In practice:

- Legitimate bookings are discouraged.
- Quotations like "system will not allow" are routinely used.
- Annual household entitlement is artificially reduced.
- Unsupplied stock is allegedly diverted into **commercial and black markets**.

This is not policy enforcement.

It is **policy weaponisation**.

5. Collapse of Grievance Redressal Mechanism

A grievance earlier filed by **Smt. Sadhana Tiwari**

- Date: **31 March 2026**
- Reference: **MPANG/E/2026/0014995**

was shown as "under process / resolved" **without any physical delivery**.

Now, the same denial has extended to:

- My own household
- My brother **Kesha Pratap Singh**
- Multiple neighbours in the same colony

This reflects **administrative negligence**, as cases appear to be closed solely on distributor responses, without **consumer verification**.

6. Larger Implications

When an essential commodity is invoiced, paid for, and withheld:

- Citizens are forced into unsafe alternatives.
- Digital India becomes a tool of deception.
- Welfare policy collapses at the last mile.
- The credibility of public institutions erodes.

Such conduct potentially attracts provisions of the **Essential Commodities Act**, as well as departmental disciplinary action.

7. Prayer for Immediate Action

In light of the above, I respectfully request your office:

1. Conduct a **physical audit of stock registers, invoice logs, and dispatch records** of Asmita Gas Service (SAP-155283).
 2. Investigate **why DACs and invoices are generated without physical delivery**.
 3. Examine **unauthorised consumer transfers** between LPG agencies.
 4. Direct **immediate delivery** of all pending refills to affected residents of Surekapuram Colony.
 5. Ensure that grievance closures occur **only after consumer confirmation**.
 6. Fix accountability for systematic denial of entitled domestic LPG supply.
-

8. Declaration

This representation is made in good faith and supported by digital evidence, transaction records, and multiple consumer testimonials. I am prepared to submit all supporting documents and cooperate with any inquiry.

Yours sincerely,

Yogi M. P. Singh

Mobile: **7379105911**

Address: **Surekapuram Colony, Mirzapur**

Grievance Reference: MPANG/E/2026/0025263

Date: 29 April 2026

On Sat, 25 Apr 2026 at 21:33, Mahesh Pratap Singh <yogimpsingh@gmail.com> wrote:

How can the government allow LPG dealers to make consumers cool through corrupt practices ipso facto? In this way, the government can provide 6 domestic gas cylinders to a consumer. This implies that the government is indirectly treating innocent and gullible people. Moreover, the applicant is highly educated. The government is cheating the applicant.

To,

The Nodal Officer / District Supply Officer, Mirzapur, Uttar Pradesh. Subject: Formal Representation Regarding Systemic Black Marketing and Delivery Diversion by Distributor SAP-155283. Respected Sir/Madam, I, Yogi M. P. Singh, a resident of Surekapuram Colony, is submitting this formal representation to bring to your urgent attention a calculated racket involving the diversion of domestic LPG cylinders into the black market by Asmita Gas Service (SAP-155283). This complaint is supported by multi-consumer evidence from a single neighbourhood cluster.

—

1. Evidence of Coordinated Delivery Suppression

The distributor is utilising "Record Denial" to withhold cylinders that have already been invoiced in the Indian Oil system. Despite the generation of digital invoices and **Delivery Authentication Codes (DACs)**, the physical goods are never delivered.

—

- **Consumer 1 (Shiv Lakhan Tiwari - ID: 519936137338):** Invoice and **DAC 524436** generated March 26, 2026; delivery refused.

—

- **Consumer 2 (Kaniti Devi - ID: 722412107991):** Invoice and **DAC 427897** generated March 26, 2026; delivery refused.

—

- **Consumer 3 (Yogi M. P. Singh - CX10221714):** Digital payment of **₹976.50** confirmed on April 23, 2026; delivery withheld 18 days past the initial invoice date.

2. Modus Operandi of the Racket

We have identified two specific tactics used to facilitate the illegal diversion of stock:

- **Unauthorised Agency Transfer:** Bookings are being transferred from Asmita Gas Service to Adhunik Gas Agency without the consumer's request, creating a jurisdictional "loophole" in which neither agency fulfils the order.
- **Artificial Scarcity (35-Day Rule):** The distributor is misrepresenting Ministry guidelines to enforce a mandatory 35-day refill gap, effectively reducing the annual supply to 8-10 cylinders and diverting the remainder to commercial black markets.




3. Failure of Redressal Channels

A previous grievance filed by **Sadhana Tiwari** on March 31, 2026 (**Ref No: MPANG/E/2026/0014995**) has remained "Under Process" while the service denial has expanded to include my household and that of my brother, **Kesha Pratap Singh**.



Prayer for Action

In light of this systemic exploitation, I request that your office:

1. Conduct an **immediate physical audit** of the stock registers at SAP-155283.
 2. Investigate why digital invoices are being generated and DACs issued without physical delivery.
- 
3. Direct the immediate release of pending refills for the aforementioned residents of Surekapuram Colony.

Sincerely,

Yogi M. P. Singh, Mobile number: 7379105911, Surekapuram Colony, Mirzapur

Grievance Ref: MPANG/E/2026/0025263

Date: 25/04/2026



GrievanceDocument.pdf

135K