



Kiran Singh <kiransingh9389752386@gmail.com>

Written Representation regarding non-compliance and submission of misleading information by the PIO in File No. S05/A/0106/2025.

1 message

Kiran Singh <kiransingh9389752386@gmail.com>

11 February 2026 at 13:41

To: hearingcourts5.upic@up.gov.in

To,

The Hon'ble State Information Commissioner (S-5), Uttar Pradesh Information Commission,

Lucknow.

Subject: Written Representation regarding non-compliance and submission of misleading information by the PIO in File No. S05/A/0106/2025.

Most Respected Sir,

In reference to the ongoing appeal, the appellant, Kiran Singh, respectfully submits the following representation regarding the deliberate failure of the Public Information Officer (PIO) to comply with the Commission's orders:

1. Deliberate Contempt of Commission's Order

- In the order dated September 11, 2025, this Hon'ble Commission categorically observed that the information previously provided by the police was "incomplete and misleading".
- Despite the Commission's explicit directive to provide the desired information and appear for the hearing on December 1, 2025, the PIO has failed to provide point-wise, accurate data.

2. Submission of Evasive and Redundant Reports

- The PIO, through the report signed by Sub-Inspector Ashish Kumar dated December 1, 2025, has reiterated the same defensive stance that the matter is "revenue-related".
- This report is a "red herring" intended to derail the RTI process, as it focuses on the appellant's land documents rather than answering specific questions about police conduct.

3. Failure to Address Specific RTI Queries

The PIO has completely evaded the following critical points of the original RTI application:

- **Police Basis (Q. 1):** The legal basis/investigative report used by the police to conclude that the path in question is a "common road".

- **Police Misconduct (Q. 2):** The legal provision justifying the detention/confinement of the appellant's family members at Krishnanagar Police Station.
- **Personnel Details (Q. 3):** Posting details of Kamla Dayal and Manoj Kumar Solanki to determine if they are influencing the investigation.

4. Prayer for Action

In light of the PIO's persistent refusal to comply with the Commission's directions, the appellant respectfully prays:

1. **Rejection of the Report:** That the misleading report dated December 1, 2025, be rejected and withdrawn.
2. **Imposition of Penalty:** That the Commission initiate penal proceedings against the PIO under **Section 20(1) and 20(2)** of the RTI Act for willfully withholding information and providing misleading facts.
3. **Direction for Information:** That the PIO be ordered to provide complete, point-wise information within a strict time frame.

Dated: February 11, 2026

Appellant: Kiran Singh

Mobile: 8687593247



MjAyNS0xMi0wMiAxOTowNDowNy45Nzk5Nw==.pdf
260K



Kiran Singh <kiransingh9389752386@gmail.com>

Representation: Objection to Sub-Inspector's Report Dated December 1, 2025

1 message

Kiran Singh <kiransingh9389752386@gmail.com>

2 December 2025 at 18:55

To: cp-pol.lu@up.gov.in, jcp-pollo.lu@up.gov.in, jcp-polhq.lu@up.gov.in, jansuchanalko@gmail.com, dcphqoffice@gmail.com

Representation: Objection to Sub-Inspector's Report Dated December 1, 2025**To,**

The Most Respected Commissioner of Police,
Lucknow Commissionerate.

Copy To,

The Public Information Officer (PIO),
Office of the Commissioner of Police, Lucknow.

Date: December 2, 2025**Subject: Objection and Representation Against the Report Submitted by Sub-Inspector Ashish Kumar, P.S. Krishnanagar, Dated December 1, 2025, and Subsequent Action in RTI Appeal (File No. S05/A/0106/2025).**

Most Respected Sir/Madam,

I, Kiran Singh, the appellant in the aforementioned matter, hereby submit a strong objection to the report dated **December 1, 2025**, signed by Sub-Inspector Ashish Kumar (UT), Police Station Krishnanagar, which was presented by the Respondent's representative, Sub-Inspector Vinay Singh, before the Uttar Pradesh Information Commission.

1. Undermining the Commission's Authority

The report of December 1, 2025, is a clear **mockery of the law** and constitutes a serious attempt to undermine the authority of the Hon'ble Uttar Pradesh Information Commission.

- The Commission, in its Order dated **September 11, 2025**, had categorically reviewed the previously provided information and found it to be **"incomplete and misleading."**
- Consequently, the Commission issued a clear directive to the **SHO, Krishna Nagar, Lucknow**, to provide the desired information and appear for the hearing scheduled for **December 1, 2025**.
- Despite this explicit order, the Sub-Inspector's report reiterates the same defensive stance, concluding that the matter is **"revenue-related"** and action is

not appropriate at the police level. This conclusion directly contradicts the spirit and letter of the Commission's finding that the police's previous response was misleading.

2. Evasion of Accountability (Throwing the Ball to the Revenue Department)

The police department is attempting to escape accountability by continuously declaring the matter to be purely a revenue concern.

- The sought-after RTI information is not merely about land ownership, but specifically about the **Police Department's conduct** and the internal basis of its various reports:
 - **Police Basis:** On what basis did the police conclude that the land/path is a 'common road' in its investigative reports? (RTI Q. 1)
 - **Police Misconduct:** What is the legal provision that justifies police connivance in land grabbing and the subsequent **detention/confinement of my family members** at Krishnanagar Police Station? (RTI Q. 2)
 - **Police Personnel Details:** Information regarding the posting details of **Kamla Dayal and Manoj Kumar Solanki**, who I allege are colleagues of the policemen posted in Lucknow and may be influencing the investigation. (RTI Q. 3)

The Sub-Inspector's report avoids answering these critical questions concerning police conduct and accountability, instead focusing solely on the applicant's failure to provide land documents—a red herring intended to derail the RTI process.

3. Prayer for Action

In light of the above facts, which demonstrate a deliberate failure to comply with the directions of the Information Commission and a refusal to be transparent about police conduct, I respectfully pray to the Most Respected Commissioner of Police:

1. To immediately **reject and withdraw** the misleading and evasive report dated December 1, 2025, submitted by Sub-Inspector Ashish Kumar.
2. To initiate an **immediate, high-level internal inquiry** into the allegations of misconduct, high-handedness (detention of family members), and corruption concerning the concerned personnel of Krishnanagar Police Station, particularly in relation to Kamla Dayal and Manoj Kumar Solanki.
3. To ensure that the **Public Information Officer** provides complete, non-misleading, and point-wise information to the appellant in strict compliance with the letter and spirit of the Uttar Pradesh Information Commission's order, under threat of action as per Section 20 of the RTI Act.

I trust that your esteemed office will take immediate and appropriate action to uphold the rule of law and ensure accountability within the Commissionerate.

Yours sincerely,

Kiran Singh W/O Narendra Pratap Singh

02/12/2025, 18:55

Gmail - Representation: Objection to Sub-Inspector's Report Dated December 1, 2025

Mobile number: 8687593247

Ali Nagar Sunahla, P.S. Krishna Nagar,

District-Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh, PIN Code-226001



kirandoc.pdf

134K

श्रीमती किरन सिंह बनाम ज0सू0अधि0 कार्यालय पुलिस कमिश्नरेट, लखनऊ

समक्ष: श्री पदुम नारायण द्विवेदी, मा0 राज्य सूचना आयुक्त, उत्तर प्रदेश।

आदेश

पुकार करायी गयी। अपीलकर्ता उपस्थित हैं। प्रतिवादी पक्ष की ओर से श्री विनय सिंह, पुलिस उप निरीक्षक, कार्यालय पुलिस कमिश्नरेट, लखनऊ उपस्थित हुए। प्रतिवादी पक्ष का कथन है कि अपीलकर्ता को वांछित सूचनाएं उपलब्ध करायी जा चुकी हैं। आयोग द्वारा सूचनाओं का अवलोकन किया गया। पाया गया कि जो सूचनाएं दी गयी है वह अपूर्ण एवं भ्रामक है।

अतः न्यायहित में प्रतिवादी थानाध्यक्ष, कृष्णा नगर, लखनऊ एवं तहसीलदार, तहसील सरोजनी नगर, लखनऊ को अपीलकर्ता के मूल आवेदन की प्रति संलग्न करते हुए पृथक-पृथक नोटिस जारी की जाए कि वह अपीलकर्ता को उनके मूल आवेदन के अन्तर्गत वांछित सूचनाएं अगले दो सप्ताह के अंदर पंजीकृत डाक के माध्यम से प्रेषित करें तथा प्रकरण की अगली सुनवाई तिथि पर उपस्थित होकर अपना पक्ष रखें, अन्यथा की स्थिति में क्यों न आपके विरुद्ध सूचना का अधिकार अधिनियम 2005 की धारा 20(1) व 20(2) के तहत नियमानुसार दण्डात्मक कार्यवाही की जा सकती है। अपील वारंते अगली सुनवाई हेतु दि० 01. 12.2025 को पेश हो।

11.09.2025

सेवा में,

श्रीमान जनसूचना अधिकारी महोदय
कमिश्नरेट लखनऊ।

द्वारा - जरिये उचित माध्यम
महोदय,

सादर अवगत कराना है कि आवेदिका के द्वारा लगाये गये आरोपों के सम्बंध में चौकी प्रभारी द्वारा पूर्व में आख्या प्रेषित की जा चुकी है आवेदिका द्वारा लगाये जा रहे आरोप राजस्व से सम्बंधित है। आवेदिका श्रीमती किरन का एक प्लॉट जिसका कुल क्षेत्रफल 2615 वर्ग फीट है जिसे श्रीमती शिमला देवी पत्नी रामसहाय से क्रय किया था। उसी से सटा हुआ भाग पूर्व श्रीमती विद्यावती पत्नी स्व० राधेश्याम नि० 39 लक्ष्मणपुरी फैजाबाद रोड लखनऊ का था जिसमे से मनोज कुमार सोलंकी व कमला दयाल ने 700 वर्गफीट जमीन का बैनामा कराया था। जिसका दाखिल खारिज दिनांक 05.01.2022 को हो चुका है। आवेदिका से प्रार्थना पत्र पर अंकित आरोपो से सम्बंधित भूमि का प्रपत्र मांगे गये किंतु आवेदिका द्वारा आरोपित भूमि से सम्बंधित कोई कागजात प्रस्तुत नहीं किया गया। आवेदिका द्वारा मात्र सतही तौर 16 फुट का रास्ता अपना होना बताया जा रहा है। आवेदिका का प्रकरण राजस्व से सम्बंधित है। राजस्व अभिलेखों में अंकित विवरण के आधार पर ही आवेदिका के प्रार्थना पत्र का निस्तारण सम्भव है। पुलिस स्तर से कोई कार्यवाही किया जाना उचित प्रतीत नहीं होता है।

रिपोर्ट सादर सेवा में प्रेषित है।



आशीष कुमार
(30नि०)
थाना कृष्णानगर
लखनऊ

श्रीमानजी,

संप्रसादित

1.12.25

प्रयोगी निरीक्षक