



Yadav indra &lt;yadavindramzp9118@gmail.com&gt;

## सुनवाई तिथि 28-01-2026 की नोटिस

Yadav indra <yadavindramzp9118@gmail.com>  
To: RAKESH KUMAR <hearingcourts10.upic@up.gov.in>  
Cc: osnagarnigam <osnagarnigam@rediffmail.com>

27 January 2026 at 20:10

### Written Submission to the UP State Information Commission

### BEFORE THE HON'BLE STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, UTTAR PRADESH

**Appeal No:** S10/A/0501/2025

**Registration No:** A-20250500801

**Appellant:** Indradev Yadav

**Respondent:** Public Information Officer (PIO), Nagar Nigam, Prayagraj

### SUBJECT: REJOINDER AGAINST UNSATISFACTORY AND EVASIVE RESPONSES BY THE PIO

#### Respected Presiding Officer,

I, Indradev Yadav, the Appellant, respectfully submit the following points for consideration regarding the hearing scheduled for **January 28, 2026**:

#### 1. Failure to Comply with Statutory Duties (Section 6(3))

The PIO (Zone-8) has repeatedly responded to Queries 2 through 5 by stating the information is "**Not sustainable from Zone-8.**" Under **Section 6(3)** of the RTI Act 2005, a PIO is legally mandated to transfer an application to the concerned public authority or department within 5 days if the information is not held by them. By failing to transfer my request to the competent authority within Nagar Nigam, Prayagraj, the PIO has caused a deliberate delay and violated the law.

#### 2. Provision of Incomplete and Evasive Information (Section 7(1))

Regarding **Query 1** (Recruitment of Mr. Mukesh Kumar Yadav), the response "Working since 2023" is grossly inadequate. I requested specific recruitment and advertisement details. The PIO's refusal to provide the specific date and the advertisement source constitutes a **constructive denial** of information.

#### 3. Violation of Previous Commission Orders

The Commission passed an order on **November 18, 2025**, directing the PIO to take action. As of today, the PIO has failed to comply with these directions, showing a lack of respect for the Commission's authority and the transparency mandate of the RTI Act.

#### 4. Prayer for Relief

In view of the above-mentioned facts, the Appellant humbly prays:

- **Direct Information:** That the Hon'ble Commission directs the PIO to provide the complete, certified information for all queries within 7 days.
- **Impose Penalty:** That the Commission initiates penal proceedings under **Section 20(1)** of the RTI Act against the PIO for a daily penalty of ₹250 for the period of delay.
- **Disciplinary Action:** That the Commission recommends disciplinary action under **Section 20(2)** for the PIO's persistent negligence and failure to transfer the application under Section 6(3).

### Verification:

I, Indradev Yadav, do hereby verify that the contents of this rejoinder are true to the best of my knowledge and belief.

**Date:** January 27, 2026

**Place:** Mirzapur, Uttar Pradesh

**Indradev Yadav**

[Quoted text hidden]



Yadav indra &lt;yadavindramzp9118@gmail.com&gt;

---

**Presiding officer Rakesh Kumar Sir, about hearing dated 4th September 2025.**

1 message

Yadav indra &lt;yadavindramzp9118@gmail.com&gt;

4 September 2025 at 08:08

To: RAKESH KUMAR &lt;hearingcourts10.upic@up.gov.in&gt;, osnagarnigam &lt;osnagarnigam@rediffmail.com&gt;

**BEFORE THE HON'BLE UTTAR PRADESH STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, LUCKNOW****Second Appeal under Section 19(3) of the Right to Information Act, 2005****Appeal Registration Number:** A-20250500801**File Number:** S10/A/0501/2025**Court of Hearing:** S-10**Date of Hearing:** 4th September 2025**Appellant:****Indradev Yadav**

Village: Chilh, Post: Chilh, Police Station: Chilh, District: Mirzapur, PIN: 231001

Mobile: 9118208936

**Respondent:**

Public Information Officer, Nagar Nigam, Prayagraj

PIN Code: 211001

---

**Subject:**

Non-compliance with the provisions of the Right to Information Act, 2005 by the Public Information Officer, Nagar Nigam, Prayagraj — failure to furnish complete and relevant information despite Commission's notice.

---

**Respected Presiding Officer,**

The appellant respectfully submits the following points for your kind consideration:

**1. Background and Context**

The appellant filed an RTI application dated **12/05/2025**, seeking specific information regarding recruitment procedures and staffing details in Nagar Nigam, Prayagraj. Despite the statutory mandate under Section 7(1) of the RTI Act, the Public Information Officer (PIO) failed to provide complete and relevant information. A diary entry was made with the Commission under **Diary Number D-160620250087** dated **16/06/2025**, yet no substantive compliance has been observed.

**2. Legal Grounds for Appeal**

Under **Section 6(3)** of the RTI Act, if the information sought pertains to another public authority, the PIO is obligated to transfer the application to the concerned authority within five days. In this case, the PIO of Zone-8 has repeatedly responded with "Not sustainable from Zone-8," without transferring the application to the competent authority, thereby violating the statutory provision.

Furthermore, under **Section 19(5)**, the burden of proving that denial of information was justified lies on the PIO. The responses provided are vague, misleading, and do not address the queries raised, which amounts to **constructive denial of information**.

**3. Specific Submissions and Deficiencies**

**Query 1:** Date of recruitment and advertisement details of Mr. Mukesh Kumar Yadav.

**Response:** "Working since 2023."

**Submission:** This does not constitute a recruitment date nor does it include advertisement details. The response is incomplete and evasive.

**Query 2:** Advertisement details of vacancies in the last 10 years.

**Response:** "Not sustainable from Zone-8."

**Submission:** The PIO should have transferred the query to the competent authority under Section 6(3). Failure to do so indicates negligence or deliberate evasion.

**Query 3:** Number of recruitments (daily wage, ad hoc, permanent) in the last 10 years.

**Response:** “Not sustainable from Zone-8.”

**Submission:** Again, the PIO failed to transfer the query or provide any data, violating the transparency mandate.

**Query 4:** Details of recruiters involved in the above recruitments.

**Response:** “Not sustainable from Zone-8.”

**Submission:** The same deficiency persists — lack of transfer and lack of information.

**Query 5:** Number of outsourced staff currently working.

**Response:** “Not sustainable from Zone-8.”

**Submission:** The response is inadequate and does not fulfill the requirement of the RTI Act.

#### 4. Prayer for Relief

In light of the above, the appellant humbly prays that the Hon’ble Commission may be pleased to:

1. **Direct the concerned PIO** to furnish complete and accurate information as originally sought.
2. **Initiate penal proceedings** under **Section 20(1)** of the RTI Act for willful denial and delay in providing information.
3. **Issue appropriate directions** to ensure compliance with Section 6(3) and Section 7(1) of the RTI Act in future cases.

---

**Date:** 4th September 2025

**Place:** Mirzapur, Uttar Pradesh

**Appellant:**

Indradev Yadav

“O God, help me in my pursuit of truth and justice.”