



Mahesh Pratap Singh <yogimpsingh@gmail.com>

27/01/2026

Mahesh Pratap Singh <yogimpsingh@gmail.com>
To: SHAKUNTALA GAUTAM <hearingcourts9.upic@up.gov.in>

26 January 2026 at 18:07

REPRESENTATION FOR THE HON'BLE COMMISSION**Date:** 26/01/2026**To:** The Hon'ble State Information Commissioner (Bench S-9), UPIC.**Appeal No:** S09/A/0002/2025**Appellant:** Yogi M.P. Singh**Respondent:** PIO/DPRO Mirzapur**SUBJECT: SUBMISSION REGARDING CONTINUED CONTUMACY AND NON-COMPLIANCE BY THE PIO****Respected Commissioner,**

Despite the clear directions issued by this Hon'ble Commission in the notice dated 23/12/2025, the Respondent (PIO) has failed to submit his written statement or "Aakhya" two days prior to tomorrow's hearing. This silence is a tactical admission of guilt. I request the Commission to note the following points:

1. Contempt of Commission's Order:

The Notice (Prarup-3) explicitly ordered the PIO to submit a written statement via email/portal by **25/01/2026**. The PIO has willfully ignored this, showing utter disregard for the Commission's authority.

2. Failure to Rebut Material Facts:

In my rejoinder dated 31/12/2025, I provided evidence that:

- The PIO's claim of "uploads not being mandatory" is a **legal falsehood** (violating MoPR Guidelines).
- The PIO committed **administrative fraud** by furnishing details of an unrelated person (Ashok Kumar Singh) to mislead this court.
- Since the PIO has not filed a rebuttal, these facts should be taken as **undisputed**.

3. The "Burden of Proof" (Section 19(5)):

Under the RTI Act, the **burden of proving** that he acted diligently and that the denial of information was justified lies solely on the PIO. By failing to respond, the PIO has failed to discharge this burden.

4. Request for Action:

If the PIO appears tomorrow and attempts to offer a "fresh" or "oral" explanation, I humbly request that it be rejected unless it is supported by the specific list of 85 non-compliant Panchayats I originally sought. Any further extension to the PIO would be an injustice to the Appellant who has been pursuing this since 2024.

PRAYER:

1. **Proceed ex-parte** if the PIO is absent or fails to provide the specific records sought.
2. **Impose a penalty of ₹25,000** under Section 20(1) for deliberate obstruction and providing misleading information.
3. **Recommend disciplinary action** under Section 20(2) for professional misconduct.

Respectfully Submitted,

Yogi M. P. Singh, Mobile number: 7379105911

On Tue, 30 Dec 2025 at 15:55, SHAKUNTALA GAUTAM
<hearingcourts9.upic@up.gov.in> wrote:



Mahesh Pratap Singh <yogimpsingh@gmail.com>

Objection to communication dated 28/11/2025 of ADO

2 messages

Mahesh Pratap Singh <yogimpsingh@gmail.com>

31 December 2025 at 15:01

To: SHAKUNTALA GAUTAM <hearingcourts9.upic@up.gov.in>, Santosh Kumar <dprmi-up@nic.in>, adochhanveymp@gmail.com, ddprmi-up@nic.in

BEFORE THE HON'BLE STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, U.P.

Appeal No: S09/A/0002/2025 (A-20241200030) **Appellant:** Shri Yogi M.P. Singh
Respondent: PIO / District Panchayat Raj Officer (DPRO), Mirzapur

REJOINDER / WRITTEN OBJECTION TO THE PIO'S RESPONSE

Most Respected Commissioner,

The Appellant respectfully submits this rejoinder to challenge the misleading and incorrect information provided by the Respondent (DPRO Mirzapur) and the ADO (P) Chhanbey via their letter dated 28.11.2025.

1. Rebuttal of the Claim: "Digital Upload is Not Mandatory"

The Respondent's primary defense is that uploading Public Information Board (PIB) images to the GPDP portal is not mandatory. This is a **gross misrepresentation** of the Ministry of Panchayati Raj (MoPR) guidelines:

- **Mandatory Geo-tagging:** The "Sabki Yojana Sabka Vikas" (People's Plan Campaign) guidelines explicitly mandate the upload of **geo-tagged photographs** of Public Information Boards and Gram Sabha meetings to the PPC/GPDP portal.
- **National Performance Metric:** The national portal (gdpd.nic.in) tracks these uploads as a verified count of transparency. Nationwide, over **89,000 PIB images** have been uploaded, proving it is a core reporting requirement.
- **Transparency Mandate:** These boards are the only way for the public to verify work details and beneficiaries at the village level before funds are released.

2. Deliberate Obfuscation and Misdirection

The Respondent has repeatedly attempted to mislead the Commission and the Appellant:

- **Irrelevant Data:** The PIO previously provided information regarding a different individual (Shri Ashok Kumar Singh) and a different RTI (No. 60644). This is not a clerical error but a **deliberate attempt to obstruct** the Appellant's right to information.
- **Redirection to e-GramSwaraj:** The PIO claims info is on *e-GramSwaraj*. However, the GPDP portal is the **monitoring platform** for the planning process,

whereas *e-GramSwaraj* is for accounting. The specific "reasons for non-compliance" and "names of negligent officials" sought are internal administrative records and are **not** available on any public portal.

3. Failure of the Monitoring Mechanism

- **Accountability Gap:** The DPRO is the designated monitoring head for these digital entries.
- **Material Consequence:** The failure of 85 Gram Panchayats to upload images indicates a breakdown in the reporting chain (mActionSoft/AuditOnline) which could lead to unauthorized fund disbursement.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

The Appellant humbly requests the Hon'ble Commission to:

1. **Reject the PIO's response** as factually incorrect and non-compliant with National Campaign Guidelines.
2. **Direct the PIO** to provide the specific list of 85 non-compliant Panchayats and the names of the Secretaries/Facilitators responsible for the monitoring failure.
3. **Impose Penalty under Section 20** for the deliberate provision of misleading information (sending another person's RTI details) and for the persistent delay in furnishing mandatory information.

Date: 31/12/2025 **Respectfully Submitted,** Yogi M.P. Singh Appellant



Mahesh Pratap Singh <yogimpsingh@gmail.com>

31 December 2025 at 15:25

To: SHAKUNTALA GAUTAM <hearingcourts9.upic@up.gov.in>, Santosh Kumar <dprmi-up@nic.in>, adochhanveympz@gmail.com, ddprmi-up@nic.in

To, The Hon'ble State Information Commissioner (Bench S-9), UP Information Commission, Lucknow.

Subject: URGENT REQUEST FOR DECISION ON MERIT (Appeal No. S09/A/0002/2025)

Respected Madam,

With reference to the upcoming hearing on **27/01/2026**, I, the Appellant, humbly submit the following:

1. **Written Records:** I have officially submitted **three (3) written objections (KOFs)**, with the latest filed under Diary No. **D-311220250036** on 31/12/2025.
2. **The Merit of the Case:** These documents contain irrefutable evidence that the Respondent (DPRO Mirzapur) has provided **false information** by claiming digital uploads are not mandatory. National GPDP guidelines clearly mandate geo-tagged PIB uploads.

3. **Request for Adjudication:** In the event of any technical failure during the online hearing, I request the Hon'ble Commission **not to dismiss the appeal** for non-appearance. I pray that the Commission exercises its power to decide the case **on merits** based on my written submissions and the PIO's documented attempts to mislead this court.

4. **Penalty:** I reiterate my request for a penalty under **Section 20** against the PIO for professional negligence and obstruction of justice.

Yours Sincerely, Yogi M. P. Singh (Appellant)

[Quoted text hidden]

समक्ष-शकुन्तला गौतम, राज्य सूचना आयुक्त उ0प्र0, सुनवाई कक्ष एस-9

अपील संख्या एस 09/ए/0002/2025

श्री योगी एमपी सिंह

बनाम ज0सू0अ0 कार्यालय जिला पंचायत राज अधिकारी, जनपद-मीरजापुर
आदेश

कम्प्लेन्ट व अपील ट्रैकिंग सिस्टम (CATS) के माध्यम से पंजीकृत द्वितीय अपील आज सुनवाई हेतु प्रस्तुत हुयी। दिनांक-28.11.2025 की सुनवाई हेतु उभयपक्षों को ई-मेल से दिनांक-12.11.2025 को नोटिस प्रेषित की गयी तथा वीडियो कान्फ्रेंसिंग के माध्यम से सुनवाई किये जाने हेतु लिंक प्रेषित किया गया। पुकार करायी गयी। अपीलार्थी अनुपस्थित है। विपक्षी जनसूचना अधिकारी की ओर से उनके प्रतिनिधि श्री जे0एल0 यादव उपस्थित हुए।

उपस्थित विपक्षी के प्रतिनिधि द्वारा प्रभारी सहायक विकास अधिकारी(पं0) विकास खण्ड-छानबे, जनपद-मीरजापुर का आयोग को सम्बोधित लिखित अभिकथन दिनांक-28.11.2025 प्रस्तुत किया गया, जिसके माध्यम से अवगत कराया गया कि अपीलार्थी द्वारा मांगी गयी बिन्दु संख्या 1 की संशोधित सूचना अपीलार्थी के मोबाइल नम्बर पर व्हाट्सएप व ई-मेल से दिनांक-28.11.2025 को प्रेषित कर दी गयी है, जिसकी प्रति आयोग के समक्ष प्रस्तुत की गयी, जिसे पत्रावली पर रक्षित किया गया।

उपरोक्त स्थिति में अपीलार्थी को इस आशय की नोटिस प्रेषित की जाये कि वह अगली नियत सुनवाई तिथि पर उ0प्र0 सूचना का अधिकार नियमावली, 2015 के नियम 9(1) के तहत स्वयं उपस्थित होकर विपक्षी जनसूचना अधिकारी द्वारा प्रदत्त करायी गयी उक्त सूचना के सम्बन्ध में अपना पक्ष प्रस्तुत करें, अन्यथा की स्थिति में आयोग द्वारा अग्रिम आदेश पारित कर दिया जायेगा।

पत्रावली वास्ते सुनवाई दिनांक-27.01.2026 को पेश हो।


28.11.2025