



Mahesh Pratap Singh <yogimpsingh@gmail.com>

Forgery of DDA and JDA on the RTI portal can not be allowed

2 messages

Mahesh Pratap Singh <yogimpsingh@gmail.com>

26 January 2026 at 20:19

To: dmmir <dmmir@nic.in>, dirag@up.nic.in

Cc: jdavindhyachal@gmail.com, ddamzp2012 <ddamzp2012@gmail.com>

Subject: URGENT: Complaint Against Illegal Disposal of RTI Appeals & Procedural Anarchy – Ref: Mirzapur Agriculture Dept.

To,

The District Magistrate, Mirzapur**The Director of Agriculture, Uttar Pradesh**

Sir,

I am officially lodging a complaint against the **Joint Director of Agriculture (FAA), Vindhyachal Mandal**, and the **Deputy Director of Agriculture (PIO), Mirzapur**, for a blatant and illegal subversion of the RTI Act 2005.

1. Illegal Use of Duplicate Attachments: The FAA has "disposed" of my appeals by attaching identical documents (**viewPDF.pdf** and **viewPDF (1).pdf**). Under the RTI Act, an Appellate Authority is required to pass a **reasoned order** addressing the specific grounds of the appeal. Merely recycling a previous response from the PIO and attaching it as a "shadow" document is a refusal to exercise appellate jurisdiction and is legally unsustainable.

2. Cryptic Omission of RTI IDs: The response letters (Letter Nos. 5813 and 5215) deliberately omit the **RTI Application IDs** and **Appeal Registration Numbers** in the body of the text. This is not an oversight; it is a **cryptic administrative tactic** to decouple the response from the legal request, making it impossible to hold the department accountable for specific points ignored or misled.

3. Failure to Address "Tehsil Rejection": The DDA admits the farmer's name (Keshav Pratap Singh) is on the beneficiary list (Serial No. 244) but claims the application is "Rejected" at the Tehsil level. By simply re-attaching this same explanation twice, the department is effectively "stalling" the benefit without taking the mandatory corrective action required by the **2025 Central Mandates** on digital land record synchronization.

Formal Demands:

- **Declare Disposals Void:** The disposal of appeals DRAGR/A/2025/60170 and DRAGR/A/2026/60017 must be declared void as they lack individual reasoned orders.
- **Direct Intervention:** Direct the PIO to issue a fresh, ID-specific response that explains why the Tehsil rejection persists despite digital Bhulekh updates.

- **Stop the Loop:** I demand a resolution from the DM's office rather than a referral back to the DDA, as the current "anarchy" is being perpetrated by the DDA and JDA themselves.

Yours faithfully,

Yogi M.P. Singh

Surekapuram, Mirzapur.

2 attachments

 viewPDF.pdf
896K

 viewPDF (1).pdf
896K

Mahesh Pratap Singh <yogimpsingh@gmail.com>

26 January 2026 at 20:46

To: dmmir <dmmir@nic.in>, dirag@up.nic.in

Cc: jdavindhyachal@gmail.com, ddamzp2012 <ddamzp2012@gmail.com>

ADDENDUM TO COMPLAINT DATED 26/01/2026 Ref: RTI Registration Nos. DRAGR/R/2025/60325 & DRAGR/R/2025/60357

To, The District Magistrate, Mirzapur The Director of Agriculture, Uttar Pradesh
Sir,

In continuation of my previous complaint regarding the procedural anarchy in the Mirzapur Agriculture Department, I am submitting this **Addendum** to highlight specific illegalities in the response to RTI No. **DRAGR/R/2025/60357** which were deliberately overlooked by the First Appellate Authority (FAA) .

1. Illegal Invocation of Section 8(1)(j): The PIO (DDA Mirzapur) denied the **Daily Progress Report** and the **Names of Officials** responsible for a **10-month delay** in processing three farmer registrations (IDs: 20250119514266, 20250119512524, and 20250119193467), claiming it is "personal information".

- **The Violation:** Under the RTI Act, the movement of a public file and the identity of a public servant on duty is **not private**. The PIO is using this clause as a shield to hide the negligence of Lekhpals and Clerks who have kept these applications in "Vicharadheen" (Pending) status since early 2025.

2. Deliberate Suppression of Central Grievances: The PIO claimed "no knowledge" of the **Central Government Grievance (DOAAC/E/2025/0045542)** dated 10/12/2025. This is a cryptic denial of a superior directive, intended to stall the PM-Kisan benefits for the beneficiary, Keshav Pratap Singh.

3. FAA's Collusion via "Attachment Tactic": The FAA (Joint Director) overlooked these blatant legal errors by simply re-attaching the PIO's flawed reply as the final order for both appeals. By failing to provide a **Reasoned Order** on why privacy laws apply to a 300-day administrative delay, the FAA has committed a forgery of the appellate process.

Additional Demands:

- **Identify the Officials:** Direct the DDA to immediately disclose the names of the officials holding the mentioned IDs for over 10 months.
- **Verify Central Grievance:** Direct an inquiry into why a Central Government directive is being claimed as "unknown" by the district office.
- **Penalty Recommendation:** I request you to recommend departmental proceedings against the PIO for the willful misuse of Section 8(1)(j) to obstruct transparency.

Yours faithfully,

Yogi M.P. Singh Surekapuram, Mirzapur.

[Quoted text hidden]

2 attachments



viewPDF (1).pdf

588K



viewPDF.pdf

785K