

समक्ष : शकुन्तला गौतम, राज्य सूचना आयुक्त, सुनवाई कक्ष एस-9

अपील संख्या एस 09/ए/0719/2025

श्रीमती साधना तिवारी बनाम ज0सू0अ0 कार्यालय पुलिस अधीक्षक, जनपद मीरजापुर.

आदेश

कम्प्लेन्ट व अपील ट्रैकिंग सिस्टम(CATS) के माध्यम से पंजीकृत की गयी अपील प्रस्तुत हुई। प्रस्तुत अपील में दिनांक 26.09.2025 की ऑनलाइन सुनवाई हेतु उभयपक्षों को उनके ईमेल पर दिनांक 16.09.2025 को नोटिस प्रेषित की गयी है। आज पत्रावली पेश हुई। प्रस्तुत अपील में ऑनलाइन सुनवाई की गयी, जिसमें अपीलार्थी अनुपस्थित हैं तथा विपक्षी जनसूचना अधिकारी की ओर से श्री सुभाष यादव, एस0आई0 उपस्थित हुए।

उपस्थित विपक्षी द्वारा आयोग को संबोधित पत्रांक-ज0सू0ऑन-120/2024 दिनांक 24.09.2025 के माध्यम से अभिकथन प्रस्तुत किया गया तथा अवगत कराया गया कि अपीलार्थी को उसके सूचना आवेदन के क्रम में वांछित सूचना दिनांक 25.02.2025 को पंजीकृत डाक के माध्यम से प्रेषित किया गया है, जिसकी प्रति आज आयोग के समक्ष प्रस्तुत की गयी।

उपरोक्त स्थिति में अपीलार्थी को प्राप्त करायी गयी सूचना पर आपत्ति का अवसर दिया जाता है तथा अपीलार्थी को इस आशय की नोटिस प्रेषित की जाये कि प्राप्त सूचना में यदि उन्हें कोई आपत्ति हो तो अपनी स्पष्ट बिन्दुवार आपत्ति एक सप्ताह में विपक्षी जनसूचना अधिकारी कार्यालय में उपलब्ध कराये, जिसकी एक प्रति नियत सुनवाई तिथि पर आयोग के समक्ष प्रस्तुत करें।

तत्क्रम में उपस्थित विपक्षी पक्ष को भी निर्देशित किया जाता है कि यदि अपीलार्थी की कोई आपत्ति प्राप्त होती है तो वह सूचना का अधिकार अधिनियम 2005 व उ0प्र0 सूचना का अधिकार नियमावली, 2015 के नियमों व प्रावधानों के अनुसार उसकी आपत्ति का निस्तारण करते हुए आपत्ति निस्तारण आख्या नियत तिथि से पूर्व अपीलार्थी को उपलब्ध करायी जाये तथा आपत्ति निस्तारण आख्या व प्रेषण साक्ष्य के साथ लिखित अभिकथन नियत सुनवाई तिथि पर आयोग के समक्ष प्रस्तुत किया जाए।

पत्रावली वास्ते सुनवाई दिनांक 28.11.2025 को पेश हों।


26.09.2025



Sadhana Mishra <sadhanamishramzp@gmail.com>

28/11/2025

Sadhana Mishra <sadhanamishramzp@gmail.com>

Fri, Nov 21, 2025 at 9:03 PM

To: SHAKUNTALA GAUTAM <hearingcourts9.upic@up.gov.in>, AJAY SINGH <asp-op.mi@up.gov.in>, spmzr-up@nic.in

To: With great respect to most revered Presiding officer of court number 9

Honoured SHAKUNTALA GAUTAM, State Information Commissioner, Hearing Room S-9

& Public Information Officer (PIO)

Office of the Superintendent of Police

MIRZAPUR, PIN Code: 231001

From:

Sadhana Tiwari W/O Omkar Nath Tiwari

Surekapuram Colony, Jabalpur Road,

District Mirzapur, Uttar Pradesh, PIN Code 231001

Mobile: 6387233091

Email: sadhanamishramzp@gmail.com

Subject: Urgent Point-Wise Objection & Written Statement (Including Details of Paper Book) as per Order dated 26.09.2025 - Second Appeal No. S09/A/0719/2025

Appeal Registration Number: A-20250300419

File Number: S09/A/0719/2025

Date of Previous Hearing: 26th September 2025

Date of Next Hearing: 28th November 2025

Most Respected Madam/Sir,

In compliance with the Commission's Order dated September 26, 2025, I, Sadhana Tiwari, the Appellant, hereby submit the following clear, point-wise objections against the information/report submitted by the Public Information Officer (specifically, the report dated 28th February 2025 by the Circle Officer, Lalganj).

I. Primary Grounds: Inclusion of Prior Submissions in the Paper Book

The Appeal must proceed on its merits based on the evidence already submitted. The following documents constitute the **Paper Book** and contain the Appellant's initial objections which must be considered by the Hon'ble Commission:

Document	Date	Content	Action Required
KOF Submission/Email	21st September 2025	Detailed complaint and initial objection against the false/concocted nature of the Circle Officer's report (dated 28/02/2025).	This document was filed <i>before</i> the 26.09.2025 hearing and must be treated as the Appellant's formal initial objection .
Original Appeal Documents	08th March 2025	Includes UPHRC Order (Page 1), Appellant's prior representations (Pages 2-3), and Revenue Record (Page 4).	These documents contain the evidence that directly contradicts the CO Lalganj Report (Pages 5-6).

Objection on Oversight: The Commission's order dated 26.09.2025, which mandated a *new* objection, appears to have **overlooked** the already detailed written objection and KOF submission dated 21.09.2025. This prior objection is sufficient ground to proceed to the next stage of the appeal without imposing further administrative steps on the Appellant.

II. Specific Point-Wise Objections to the CO Lalganj Report

(Points 1, 2, and 3 remain the same, detailed as below for completeness):

1. Arbitrary and Inconsistent Nature of the CO Lalganj Report (Dated 28/02/2025)

Category	Objection	Basis for Objection
Merit of Report	The report is arbitrary, inconsistent, and bogus in nature. Its validity is subject to scrutiny by this Commission as it deals with the police's failure to furnish correct information regarding a Human Rights Violation and Land/Revenue matter.	
Contradictory Evidence	The report is directly contrary to the contents found on pages two, three, and four of the documents submitted (part of the Paper Book), which include the UPHRC order and relevant revenue records.	
Procedural Lapse	The CO, Lalganj, failed to review the subsequent representations and evidence submitted by the appellant in compliance with the UPHRC order dated 05/09/2024 .	

2. False Reporting Regarding Revenue Records and Inheritance

- **Objection:** The report fails to acknowledge or address the **manipulation of revenue records** concerning the ancestral land.
- **Basis for Objection:**
 - **Omission of Class One Heir:** The revenue records deliberately **omit** the name of the applicant (Sadhana Tiwari), who was a Class One heir, and the name of the applicant's father from the list of successors of Shambhu Saran Mishra.
 - **Conspiracy and Illegal Sale:** The report ignores the clear evidence of illegal sale by colluding individuals who falsely represented themselves as the sole heirs.

3. Request for Action on Alleged Criminal Offences

- **Objection:** The CO Lalganj report and the information provided by the PIO overlook the grave **criminal elements** in the case.
- **Basis for Objection:**
 - **Specific IPC Sections:** The actions of the named offenders and colluding public staff (Lekhpal, Revenue Inspector, and Tehsildar) warrant the registration of an F.I.R. under Sections **466, 467, 468, 420, 195, and 471 of the Indian Penal Code**.
 - **Violation of Rights of a Minor:** The report implicitly condones the unlawful deprivation of ancestral property rights from a minor girl, which is a gross violation of fundamental and human rights.

III. Prayer

I respectfully reiterate my prayer for the Commission to:


1. **Acknowledge and include** the KOF Submission/Email dated 21.09.2025 as part of the official Paper Book.
2. **Reject** the arbitrary and bogus report dated 28th February 2025 submitted by the Circle Officer, Lalganj.
3. **Order** a fresh, fair, and transparent inquiry.
4. **Direct** the Superintendent of Police, Mirzapur, to initiate the registration of an F.I.R. against the named offenders and the colluding public staff under the relevant sections of the IPC.

Date: 21/11/2025

Submitted with the utmost respect

(Sadhana Tiwari)

On Mon, Nov 17, 2025 at 3:47 PM SHAKUNTALA GAUTAM
<hearingcourts9.upic@up.gov.in> wrote:

 **0419 (9) 2.pdf**
98K