

To

Chief Information Commissioner/ Companion Information Commissioners

7/7A, RTI Bhawan, Vibhuti Khand, Gomti Nagar Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh, PIN Code-
226010

Details of the appellant- Kiran Singh W/O Narendra Pratap Singh

Mobile number 8687593247,

Ali Nagar Sunahla, Police station Krishna Nagar,

District-Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh, PIN Code-226001

Details of the respondents-

1-PIO of Public Authority approached- Naveen Kumar Singh

Designation- ADDITIONAL DEPUTY COMMISSIONER OF POLICE, Phone No
9140770107, Email Id jansuchanalko@gmail.com,

Police Commissionerate Lucknow, PIN Code-226001

2-Concerned Appellate Authority- Anil Kumar Yadav

Designation- DEPUTY COMMISSIONER OF POLICE

Email Id dcpqhoffice@gmail.com, Phone No- 9140770107,

Police Commissionerate Lucknow, PIN Code-226001.

Prayer- Public information officer provided misleading and incomplete information, and the first appellate authority did not entertain the given online RTI appeal by the appellant.

Please act against the public information officer under section 20 of the right to information act 2005 and order disciplinary action against the procrastination of the first appellate authority for not entertaining first appeal.

Short submissions of the appellant are as follows.

- 1- Most respected sir, please take a glance of the status of the submitted RTI application by the appellant as follows

name Kiran Singh

Filing Date 17/10/2024

condition Disposing of Application Dated 22/11/2024

Reply:- file attached.

[View Documents](#)

It is quite obvious that public information officer has violated subsection one of section 7 of the right to information act 2005. 2-Most respected sir, please take a glance of the status of the submitted RTI appeal by the appellant as follows.

Registration Number PCLKO/A/2024/60212

name Kiran Singh

Filing Date 18/12/2024

condition Comments sought from Central Public Information Officer dated 21/12/2024

Appellate Authority Details: - Telephone Number:- 9140770107, Email Id:-
dcphqoffice@gmail.com

Remarks: - comment pl

This implies that first appellate authority cryptically supported the illegal stand of the public information officer. Here this question arises that whether the comment was provided by the public information officer as sought by the first affiliate authority.

3- Most respected Sir, sought information from PIO, provided information by PIO and submissions of the appellant as as follows.

Sought information from PIO 1-Please provide the information on the private road claimed by the applicant whether belongs to Shimla Devi W/O Ram Sahai or Vidyavati Devi W/O Radhey Shyam as an integral part of Investigation by sub inspectors.

Provided information by PIO 1- According to the public information officer this information

concerns the Department of revenue so this information cannot be provided to the information seeker.

Submission of the appellant- Right to reason is the indispensable part of the sound administrative system and police must provide the reason on what basis that piece of land is being declared as the common road in its various reports. This implies that entire reports of the police are baseless. From the report it is quite obvious that the applicant told the concerned investigation officer of the police that the plot of the land was purchased from Shimla Devi W/O Ram Sahai and the opposition party purchased the land from Vidyavati Devi W/O Radhey Shyam. Here this question arises whether Vidyavati Devi W/O Radhey Shyam has provided any road to the opposite party if not then how they can claim any road. Most respected Sir this road belongs to the applicant and is a part of agreement between the landowner Shimla Devi wife of Ram Sahai quite obvious from the agreement made between the applicant and the landowner in the police station.

Sought information from PIO 2- Private road of the information seeker was grabbed by opposite parties by keeping the station house officer police situation- Krishna Nagar under Good faith. Consequently, the family members of the information seeker including information seeker were confined to the Krishnanagar police station by SHO. Please provide the provision of law which states that grabbing of land by colluding with the police is dealt under the civil procedure code. Provided information by police.

Provided information by PIO 2- Public information officer denied the information saying that the allegations are baseless.

Submission of the appellant- It is quite obvious that police are prejudiced regarding the allegations made by the information seeker in respect of conduct of concerned police personnel because it had reached the conclusion that concerned police personnel are innocent. The information seeker is seeking inquiry concerning the irregularities and corruption which is being overlooked by quoting in its arbitrary reports that the matter concerns the department of revenue.

Sought information from PIO 3- Please provide the posting details of Kamla Dayal and

by police

Provided information by PIO 3- Denied information as concerned with police head office.

Submission of the appellant- Violated sub section 3 of section 6 of the right to information act 2005. For more details, vide attached document to the online RTI appeal.

This is a humble request of your applicant to you Hon'ble Sir that how can it be justified to withhold public services arbitrarily and promote anarchy, lawlessness, and chaos arbitrarily by making the mockery of law of land? There is need of the hour to take harsh steps against the wrongdoer to win the confidence of citizenry and strengthen the democratic values for healthy and prosperous democracy. For this, your appellant shall ever pray for you, Hon'ble Sir.

Date-01/02/2025

Yours sincerely.

किरण सिंघु

Kiran Singh W/O Narendra Pratap Singh

Mobile number 8687593247,

Ali Nagar Sunahla, Police Commissionerate Lucknow, Police station Krishna Nagar,

District-Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh, PIN Code-226001

किरण सिंघु